GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

+ + + + +

ZONING COMMISSION

+ + + + +

PUBLIC HEARING

+ + + + +

THURSDAY

JANUARY 27, 2005

The Public Hearing of Case No. 04-22 by the District of Columbia Zoning Commission convened at 6:30 p.m. in the Office of Zoning Hearing Room at 441 4^{th} Street, Northwest, Washington, D.C., Anthony J. Hood, Vice-Chairperson, presiding.

ZONING COMMISSION MEMBERS PRESENT:

ANTHONY J. HOOD Vice-Chairperson
KEVIN HILDEBRAND Commissioner
GREGORY JEFFRIES Commissioner
JOHN G. PARSONS Commissioner

OFFICE OF ZONING STAFF PRESENT:

CLIFFORD MOY Deputy Secretary SHARON SCHELLIN Zoning Specialist

OFFICE OF PLANNING STAFF PRESENT:

ELLEN McCARTHY Office of Planning DAVID McGETTIGAN Office of Planning CINDY PETKAC Office of Planning

This transcript contains the complete minutes of the public hearing held on Thursday, January 27, 2005.

AGENDA ITEM **PAGE** PRELIMINARY MATTERS: Anthony J. Hood 4 WARD 6 Council Member Sharon Ambrose 7 PRELIMINARY MATTERS Ms. Schellin 9 VOTE ON STANTON PARK NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATION VOTE ON POTOMAC DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION 15 VOTE ON SQUARE 776 16 PUD - ABDO DEVELOPMENT Mr. Abdo 21 Mr. Millman 31 Mr. Esocoff 43 OFFICE OF PLANNING Mr. McGettigan 96 ANC-6C Mr. Rivera 113 STANTON PARK NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATION Mr. Edwards 121 Mr. Tallant 126 **PROPONENTS** Mr. Danaher 138 Mr. Pernell 139 Ms. Southern 144 Mr. Hechinger 147 Mr. Price 148

AGENDA (CONTINUED)

 Ms. Cort
 155

 Mr. Davis
 160

 Mr. Layman
 162

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

Mr. Kimber	166
Mr. Davis	168
Mr. Lolly	170
Mr. Sherman	175
<u>OPPONENTS</u> :	
RESIDENTS OF SQUARE 776	
Mr. McCrea	179
CLOSING REMARKS	
Ms Prince	100
	177

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

2	6:44 p.m.
3	VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: Good evening, ladies
4	and gentlemen.
5	This is the Public Hearing of the Zoning
6	Commission of the District of Columbia for Thursday,
7	January 27th, 2005.
8	My name is Anthony J. Hood. Joining me
9	this evening are Commissioners Parsons, Hildebrand and
LO	Jeffries.
L1	It says we are expecting Anthony Hood, but
L2	he is here. I thought that would be humorous.
L3	Notice of today's hearing was published in
L4	the <u>D.C. Register</u> on November the 12th, 2004. Copies
L5	of today's hearing announcement are available to you
L6	and are located to my left in the wall bin near the
L7	door.
L8	This hearing will be conducted in
L9	accordance with provisions of 11 DCMR 30-22. The
20	order of procedures will be as follows.
21	I will be amending this evening for the
22	Honorable Council Member Sharon Ambrose, Preliminary
23	Matters, Applicant's Case, Report of the Office of
24	Planning, Report of Other Government Agencies, Report
	1

Neighborhood

of

the

Advisory

25

6-C,

Commission

Organizations and Persons in Support, Organizations 1 2 and Persons in Opposition. The following time constraints will be 3 maintained in this meeting. 4 The Applicant 45 minutes, organizations 5 5 minutes, individuals 3 minutes. 6 7 The Commission intends to adhere to the 8 time limits as strictly as possible in order to hear 9 the case in a reasonable period of time. The 10 Commission reserves the right to change the time 11 limits for presentations, if necessary, and no time 12 shall be seeded. appearing 13 All persons before the Commission are to fill out two witness cards. 14 These 15 cards are located to my left on the table near the 16 door. Upon coming forward to speak to the Commission, please give both cards to the reporter sitting to my 17 18 right. 19 Please be advised that this proceeding is 20 being recorded by a Court Reporter and it is also web 21 cast live. Accordingly, we must ask you to refrain 22 from disruptive noises and actions in the hearing 23 room. 24 presenting information When the to 25 Commission, into please turn and speak the on

microphone, first stating your name and home address. 1 2 When you are finished speaking, please turn your microphone off, so that your microphone is no longer 3 picking up sound or background noise. 4 The decision of the Commission in this 5 case must be based exclusively on the public record. 6 7 avoid any appearance to the contrary, 8 Commission requests that persons present not engage 9 the members of the Commission in conversation during 10 any recess or at anytime. The staff will be available 11 throughout the hearing to discuss procedural 12 questions. Please turn off all beepers and cell 13 phones at this time, not to disrupt these proceedings. 14 15 At this time, the Commission will consider 16 any preliminary matters. I'm going to ask the staff 17 to hold on that, but I will move to, would all individuals wishing to testify please rise and take 18 19 the oath. 20 MS. SCHELLIN: Please raise your right 21 hand. 22 Do you solemnly swear or affirm that the testimony you will give in this evening's proceeding 23

> **NEAL R. GROSS** COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

will be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the

truth?

24

Thank you.

2.2

VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: I want to ask the Council Member from Ward 6 if she would come to the table, Honorable Council Member Sharon Ambrose.

MS. AMBROSE: Thank you very much, Chairman Hood and members of the Commission for entertaining my early testimony. I do indeed have a PSA meeting to go to, PSA too, so I will be leaving to go there.

I can't tell you anymore than I have tried to do in my written statement, which you have copies of, how enthusiastic I am about this project.

The Capital Children's Museum has given this community and this city great pleasure for many, many years. The physical plant of that wonderful facility has become really more than the Board of Directors of which I am one, is able to sustain as a children's museum.

In order to move the museum to another level and seek to provide better services for the children of the District of Columbia and the region, we have sought and obtained designation as a national children's museum. And it was that designation that allowed us to begin to dream about finding another use for that wonderful property and moving the children's

museum to another more appropriate place. And we have found that other use.

I am very pleased that Jim Abdo ane his firm is going to be able to take on the formidable task of bringing that building up to code, up to speed as it were, so that it will be a useful part of the built environment in this community and also be able to, I believe, by bringing additional folks to the community in the residential units that they're intending to build, jump start the revitalization of what is now H Street, Main Street, the entire H Street corridor.

So, I am very, very pleased about that.

I am pleased that it is Jim Abdo and his company. He has done other projects in Ward 6 and in other parts of the city that have shown him to be sensitive, not only to the community, but to the built environment of the community in which he works. And that sensitivity has already been evident in his dealings with this community.

Abdo Development has, despite the fact that we do not have an inclusionary zoning law, but are just beginning to look at one, Jim Abdo has volunteered to set aside a number of units as affordable in this new development.

2.2

	so, I just think it is a win/win for the
2	children's museum and the museum and children's
3	communities in the District of Columbia and for the
4	community of Near Northeast.
5	So, I urge you to approve this project,
6	because I think it will really benefit that community,
7	the city and the region.
8	Thank you very much for hearing me this
9	evening.
10	VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: All right. Thank
11	you, Council Member, if you can hold your seat for a
12	second.
13	Any questions, Commissioners?
14	It's always good to see you and to hear
15	your testimony. Thank you very much.
16	MS. AMBROSE: Thank you.
17	VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: Let me go back.
18	Ms. Schellin, do we have any preliminary
19	matters?
20	MS. SCHELLIN: Just two. The first one
21	just to let you know that the Applicant has filed the
22	maintenance affidavit and they did comply with the
23	regs.
24	And the second thing is just that there
25	are three party status requests.

1	VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Thank you.
2	Commissioners we have three requests. I
3	would like to start off with Exhibit Number 23 from
4	the Stanton Park Neighborhood Association.
5	First, let me ask Mr. Thomas or Ms.
6	Prince. Do you have a problem with Stanton Park
7	Neighborhood Association?
8	MS. PARKER: No objection.
9	VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: What about the ANC?
10	Who is representing the ANC? I think it's 6-C.
11	Commissioner, if you could come to the
12	table.
13	Now, let me ask one question. Who is
14	speaking for the ANC just in this preliminary Mr.
15	Rivera, are you the chairperson? Oh, he's speaking.
16	Okay.
17	MS. SCHELLIN: Excuse me. They need to
18	turn their mike on.
19	VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: Oh, I'm sorry.
20	Mr. Rivera, do you have any problem with
21	Stanton park Neighborhood Association being a party?
22	MR. RIVERA: I really well, we weren't
23	informed that they were involved and a party to the
24	situation.
25	VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Well, they've

1 asked -- they have a request in front of us to be a 2 party. ANC is automatically a party, so that's not an 3 issue. 4 Just to let you know, you already have party status. It's up to my colleagues, we're going 5 to dialogue and see whether or not we think Stanton 6 7 Park meets the requirements to be a party status. 8 That's the extent. 9 MR. RIVERA: Yes. You can make the 10 decision. 11 VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: Oh, thank you. Okay. MS. PRINCE: Chairman Hood. 12 13 VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yes. 14 MS. PRINCE: One comment. The ANC filed 15 two written reports in this case. In neither case did 16 the ANC authorize a spokesman on behalf of the ANC. 17 Therefore, I believe, the written reports need to 18 individual ANC commissioners who appear stand and 19 before you tonight, will be speaking as individual 20 persons, rather than representatives of the ANC by the 21 terms of the resolutions that were filed by the ANC. VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: I understand, Ms. 2.2 23 Prince, but when we get there, I'm going to look at --24 there is one that was just handed to us shortly. 25 I want to look at that one and see and then we'll make

Τ	that determination. So, thank you for your
2	clarification.
3	Okay. Commissioners, Mr. Rivera, I'll
4	probably like to get with you after we go through our
5	proceeding and I'll see if you have preliminary, I'll
6	call you up later.
7	MR. PERNELL: I'm not asking for party
8	too. Well, it is in the boundary of Near Northeast,
9	but I have no opposition against that and being party
10	to.
11	VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: Oh, okay. Thank you.
12	Stanton Park Neighborhood Association
13	Commissioners, I think that they represent in totality
14	a neighborhood group. I think they meet the request,
15	but I'm open for
16	COMMISSIONER PARSONS: I would concur.
17	They did not, however, indicate whether they are a
18	proponent or opponent of this project.
19	VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: I believe we know now
20	they are a proponent.
21	COMMISSIONER PARSONS: Thank you.
22	VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: And I think that was
23	a submittal that came in later too, so
24	COMMISSIONER PARSONS: I didn't see that.
25	VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yes. Unfortunately,

we got that kind of face too.
MS. SCHELLIN: Actually, they didn't
submit. I called and
VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: Oh, that's how we
found out.
MS. SCHELLIN: spoke with them and they
are a proponent.
VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. So, I move
that the Stanton Park Neighborhood Association become
a party in Zoning Commission Case 04-22.
COMMISSIONER PARSONS: Seconded.
VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD:
It's moved and properly seconded. All
those in favor?
(AYES)
VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: Any opposition? So
ordered. Staff, would you record the vote?
MS. SCHELLIN: The staff would record the
vote, four to zero to one to approve Stanton Park
Neighborhood Association as granted party status in
Case No. 04-22.
Commissioner Hood moving, Commissioner
Parsons seconding, Commissioners Hildebrand and
Jeffries in favor. Commissioner Mitten not present,
not voting.

2 have is from the Potomac Development Corporation who is also a proponent. 3 In my review of the submittal, colleagues, 4 they are asking not to even testify. They just want 5 to make sure that they have one specific concern and I 6 7 think that's dealing with the garage be brought up. 8 But I will -- I am not in favor of promoting -- of not 9 approving them party status. I believe that they will 10 have ample time when we allow for groups to come up, 11 the Potomac Development Corporation will be able to 12 speak at that time. I and I think that would be 13 appropriate. 14 COMMISSIONER PARSONS: I agree. 15 COMMISSIONER HILDEBRAND: I'd agree too. 16 If they're not even here, I don't know how they could --17 18 VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: Are they here? 19 They're present, but I think they will have ample 20 time at that time to speak and give us their -- one 21 person will have five minutes and everyone else will 2.2 have three like the normal procedures. 23 So, I would move that we deny Potomac 24 Development Corporation party status and ask for a 25 second.

VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: The next request we

1	COMMISSIONER HILDEBRAND: Second.
2	VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: All those in favor?
3	(AYES)
4	VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: Opposed? So, would
5	the staff record the vote?
6	MS. SCHELLIN: Staff would record the
7	vote, four to zero to one to deny party status to
8	Potomac Development Corporation. Commissioner Hood
9	moving, Commissioner Hildebrand seconding,
10	Commissioners Jeffries and Parsons in favor.
11	Commissioner Mitten not present, not voting.
12	VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: Also, we have the
13	last request is the residents and property owners of
14	Square 776. Again, they actually did not meet the
15	filing requirements or meet the requirements of
16	3022.3. I would be in favor of denying them party
17	status and also let them know if they are listening
18	that they will have an opportune time to come down
19	when the opponents come and be able to express to us
20	their opposition.
21	Any other comments? Anymore discussion?
22	I would move that we deny the residents
23	and property owners of Square 776 party status for not
24	meeting the Zoning Commission requirements of 3022.3
J	

and ask for a second.

1	COMMISSIONER PARSONS: Second.
2	VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: It's been moved and
3	properly seconded. All those in favor?
4	(AYES)
5	VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: Any opposition? So
6	ordered. Staff, would you record the vote?
7	MS. SCHELLIN: The staff would record the
8	vote, four to zero to one to deny party status to the
9	residents and property owners of Square 776.
10	Commissioner Hood moving, Commissioner
11	Parsons seconding, Commissioners Jeffries and
12	Hildebrand in favor. Commissioner Mitten not present,
13	not voting.
14	VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Now, as soon
15	as I figure out. I guess the ANC, Commissioner
16	Pernell and I'm sorry, Commissioner Rivera. Turn your
17	mike on.
18	MR. RIVERA: Rivera. Anthony Rivera.
19	VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: Anthony Rivera.
20	Okay. Anthony Rivera.
21	Okay. If we could clear a little space.
22	We're going to have the Applicant come up and present
23	the case.
24	Ms. Prince, I think you all have asked
25	for I'm not sure. How much time have you asked

1	for?
2	MS. PRINCE: 45 minutes.
3	VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: 45 minutes.
4	MS. PRINCE: Good morning, Chairman,
5	afternoon, evening.
6	VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: It feels like that
7	sometimes, doesn't it?
8	MS. PRINCE: And I was going to say
9	Chairman Mitten. Chairman Hood and members of the
10	Commission.
11	I'm Allison Prince of Shaw Pittman and I'm
12	here tonight as counsel for the PUD Applicant, Abdo
13	Development. I'm here tonight with Paul Tummins, also
14	of Shaw Pittman.
15	We are here to present a project that will
16	be a catalyst for the revitalization of the H Street
17	Corridor and the surrounding area. It is not often
18	that this Commission is presented with an exclusively
19	residential project on a commercially zoned site that
20	is located in the immediate proximity of several
21	office building, in this case, the SEC Headquarters
22	building which is now under construction.
23	This two-acre site was formally the home
24	of the Capital children's Museum, as you heard from

Council Member Ambrose. And it has a long history of

institutional use.

2.2

The zoning of the site is split between the C-3A and C-3B zones districts. It could be developed as a matter of right as an office building, containing approximately 300,00 feet of gross floor area.

Instead, the Applicant is proposing 420 to 445 housing units in new construction and 47 to 51 units in a former convent building that dates back to 1870.

There will be no office use on the site and there will be no retail to compete with the H Street businesses and instead a critical mass of new residents to patronize those businesses.

It would be hard to imagine a project that is more consistent with the housing goals for the District of Columbia. The site if flanked by two metro stations, the newly opened New York Avenue station and obviously Union Station.

The project specifically and directly carries out the objectives of the H Street Plan. That plan endorses hosing at a higher density with architecture that appropriately marks the entrance to the H Street community. It notes the proximity of the western end of the corridor to the two metro station I

19 mentioned. And it promotes the increase 1 2 opportunities for new residential and mixed-use development the adaptive reuse of existing 3 and buildings. 4 plan specifically encourages 5 The the redevelopment of this very site with rehabilitation of 6 7 the existing buildings and additional residential 8 development in the remainder of the Square. 9 Finally, the plan recognizes the gateway 10 location of the site, resulting in an extremely 11 important and highly visible project. 12 In connection with this PUD, the Applicant is seeking a zone change to C-3-C. That zone change 13 is needed to allow design flexibility necessary to 14 15 create a project that fully respects the existing 16 architecturally significant buildings. It maintains a lot occupancy of only 65 percent. 17 I should note that the project utilizes 18 19 only a portion of the density available under the 20 requested zoning. While a maximum FAR of an 8 is 21 permitted under a C-3-C PUD, this project involves an 22 FAR of only 5.7.

Under the existing zoning, a significantly greater lot occupancy would be permitted. Specifically, a blended lot occupancy of almost 87

23

24

1	percent would be permitted as a mater of right on the
2	site under the existing combination of zone districts.
3	This site is ideally appropriate for
4	development through the PUD process. The zone changes
5	requested is to maximize residential opportunities and
6	design flexibility. The project has been designed to
7	the highest standards and reflects extensive community
8	input.
9	The project amenities will be elaborated
10	upon by Mr. Abdo.
11	If the Commission has no questions, I
12	would like to proceed with the testimony of our first
13	witness, James Abdo, or Abdo Development. Mr. Abdo
14	will be followed by and also Toby Millman of Abdo
15	will add points during his presentation.
16	He will be followed by Phil Esocoff of
17	Esocoff and Associates Architects. And finally,
18	Martin J. Wells, the Traffic Engineer, is available to
19	present his report or answer questions, if there are
20	any from the Commission.
21	VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: We'll wait and ask
22	all of our questions at the end.
23	MS. PRINCE: To the whole panel at the
24	end?
25	VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: Right.

MS. PRINCE: Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MR. ABDO: Test. It works great.

Mr. Chairman, Distinguished Members of the Commission. I hope you don't mind if I stand. I'm one of these people that's so fired up about tonight that I don't think I can do this sitting down. So, I hope this isn't going to bother you.

I very much, first of all, appreciate you having us here tonight. I had a thought that I just want to share with you that came to me as I was coming over here.

We were all driving over together and everybody is talking about this big Super Bowl game that's coming up and they're talking about these two great teams that have worked really hard for this entire season and that they're coming together. They're going to be in this big show on a week from Sunday, the Super Bowl. And I'm sitting there quietly overhearing this and I thought to myself as I walking up here. You know, I'm on a great team too. And I've been on a great team now for over a year. And when I talk about my great team, it's not just members of my company, it's great. It's only attorneys. It's wonderful talented architects. It's a great nonprofit with the Capital Children's Museum, now the National

children's Museum. It's the members of the H Street community. It's Commissioner Pernell and other ANC Commissioners that work together with me and with my company for almost a year now, as a team. And I can tell you wholeheartedly that the Super Bowl for us is not a week from Sunday. It's right now. It's tonight standing before you. That's how seriously we are taking this and that's how much this means to us.

I can also tell you as a member of this team that I believe wholeheartedly that what we are bringing you is a winner. And I thank you again for having us.

I also want to talk to you more specifically about our various team members. We are the Applicant, Abdo Development, along with our friends at the Capital Children's Museum, who are here this evening. Architects for the historic large building, the old children's museum, will be Abdo Development. Jamie Lee is our in-house architect. We're doing that all in-house.

On the new construction, the newer building, talented Phil Esocoff and his great team will be the architects of record and David Bell, who is a local architect who many of you may know. He sits on the D.C. Preservation League Board along with

myself. He will be working on the amenity building that we'll show you that's part of that. That's also 140-year old building that we will be preserving.

Several engineers, Bowman, Traffic Consultant Wells. Ms. Wells is here. We've got the landscape architects, I believe, here as well in case you have other questions and you already have been introduced to our land-use council.

I think it's important for you to know who we are. We've been before HPRB any number of times, but we've never been before your group. And I just want you to know a little bit about my company.

We're based in the District of Columbia. We always have been and I can assure you that we always will be. Eighty percent of my company's employees, including myself, are D.C. residents and we're very proud of that. We build almost exclusively in the District of Columbia. We've completed over 30 projects in the District. Only one project we've done outside of the District. The first one is actually happening this year.

We are sort of known as the group that led the revitalization of the 14th Street Corridor, which by the way when we went there was not the fashionable place that it is now. It was very much like H Street

is today.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

We specialize in preservation, restoration and the conversion of historic buildings. We're passionate about it and you'll see that in some of the examples we're going to bring you.

in developing have experience buildings that are sensitive to the existing neighborhood and its context. We have an excellent record of local, small disadvantaged business enterprise participation. LSDBE participation is a cornerstone for us. And we're going to show you examples of how we have outperformed in that area.

We are adamant about hiring within the community. And you'll see that as well later in the presentation. And one thing that is important to note is that we add significant value to the neighborhoods that we come to, not just for existing property owners that are there that can see their values rise significantly. But value that's involved in all these other things, be it preservation, be it jobs. There's a whole lot of ways that we assess value.

I'm going to show you some examples that you may or may not be familiar with of work that we've done. This is a building that used to be the old Cadillac hotel on Logan Circle. We went to Logan

Circle really before anybody else would, this was a building that was vacant and abandoned for many years.

When we were finished with it, that's what it looks like and that's what it looks like today.

the historic preservation We won millennium Logan Circle Community award bу the Association for the work that we did on The interiors were in very distressed conditions when we took the building over, but today this is what they look like.

Moving on, we take on challenging sites. Very difficult in-fill projects around the city.

building at the left here The is a building that I purchased six years ago. boarded up crack house between 16th Street and 15th This is on P Street, NW. When I purchased Street. that building, I brought my banker over to see it. We had just finished a project at Logan Circle. He said, Jim, when are you doing the next project? We want to see the next project. I picked him up in my pick-up truck and I drove him over. And I'm driving down P Street and all of a sudden I cross 16th Street and he starts kind of shifting and getting nervous. He says, where are you taking me? And I pulled up in front of this building and it was boarded up and shuttered at

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

the time, covered with graffiti and I said, this is the next project. This is the project that I want to do next. And he said, no. You're crazy. We don't lend money east of 16th Street.

So, what did I do? I did it myself. I mortgaged my home. I got the money together. I bought this property anyhow and I financed all of the construction on my own. It ended up being an enormous success.

During the period that we were developing that property, we used to park our pick-up trucks and construction vehicles right where these cars are parked right now. And one day I got this very irate phone call from a gentleman who said. I'm a lawyer and I represent the owner of the lot that you're parking your vehicles on. And I said, I am so sorry. I didn't realize that that was a lot. I thought it was an alley that connected this street to a rear alley. But once I found out it was a lot, I said. I want to meet your guy and I want to buy it. And that's what we did.

And what we created was a building. It's brand new, but fit in very well to the historic context of the neighborhood. A building that we're very, very proud of and we took area here that was a

real thorn under the saddle for the community.

2.2

This is a place where prostitution took place. This is a place where drug dealing took place. This was a very scary block of P Street. Now, it's one of the more fashionable places in the city to live. And this is what the building looks like from the inside.

I knew that we were very successful with this building when the week we finished it, a gentleman from the neighborhood walked up to me and he said, you know, Mr. Abdo, I sure like what you did with that old building. And I said we just built this building. It wasn't even here. But I new we were successful when he said that.

Moving on, this is a building where we went further. Further into a transitional area. This is 13th and N Street. Again, long before anyone else was over there. This building was off the power grid for 25 years. When I bought this building, I called Pepco up and I said, hey. I just bought this building. I need some temporary power because I need to get started on this thing.

And Pepco, I heard them clicking away in the background on their computer and they kept saying, give me that address again. Give me that address.

And I did and they said, I'm sorry, there is no such building. We have no record that any building exists there. It took me two days to get Pepco with an engineer to come out here and physically acknowledge that that building existed, because it had been off the power grid that long.

When we were done with that building, we fully restored it and built some very dramatic living space with direct elevator entrances directly in them.

As a result of our work there, HTTV did a special on this building and on our company for our positive impact on the community and what it meant to that community.

We've done super scale larger projects. This is a 70,000 foot square foot building on Rhode Island Avenue. Again vacate. By the way, I want to make sure you understand that everything we do involves vacant structures. We don't throw people out of buildings to build new apartments and new condos. These are all vacant structures that we go into and create housing. Re-establish housing. interiors, and this is the level of build-out that we do. This is a project that you're probably very familiar with. Many of you were probably involved in This is the Bryan Square PUD that Toby Millman this.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

brought to you when he was with Akin Young and Tal. He then brought this building to me and said, Jim. You're the right guy for this building. This is a 100-year old schoolhouse. It was in severely distressed condition. And when we were done with this building, that's what it looks like today.

People told me I was crazy. You're nuts, Jim, to put that kind of money into an address at 13th and Independence Avenue, SE.

Well, we have a prominent member of the Senate living in this building, two Congressmen, and a major general and his wife from the U.S. Air Force who are responsible for protecting the air space over Washington, D.C. Those are the kind of people that recognized quality, rehabilitation and adapted for use and have joined in going into these neighborhoods. And we're very proud of that.

By the way, we won the mayor's award for excellence in historic preservation for that building.

We also know retail. We understand distressed retail. And we're willing to take chances there as well. We brought the first pharmacy to Logan Circle since 1940. The community said we want a place to gather. We want a place where we can meet our friends. We brought Caribou Coffee, the second

largest coffee retailer in America to the corner of 14th and Rhode Island Avenue. And took distressed outdoor space and made it a place where people could sit and meet their friends.

We had a husband and wife from the Logan Circle neighborhood that said, you know, we're accountants but what we really want to do is open a hardware store. And the community was asking for that. We built for them the first hardware store that's been open in Logan Circle in 75 years. They're so successful, they're opening a second one in the district.

Well, we don't just put our money where our mouths are. We actually put ourselves in these blocks. You're looking at our corporate offices right here. Our corporate offices were in this building. This was a vacant and abandoned crack house. We then re-established this building, put in a new family-owned retailer downstairs and took our corporate offices upstairs.

We are in the process of moving again to this distressed building at the corner of 14th and Rhode Island. It was burned down after the riots after the death of Martin Luther King. So, we plan on being in the very communities that we help drive.

That's

2 who we are. 3 want to turn now to the Capital Children's Museum and the PUD. 4 One of the very first things that we did 5 when we were chosen by the Capital Children's Museum 6 7 to be the developers for this site was start community 8 And we think there's two levels 9 community outreach. There's honest community outreach 10 and then there's just going through the motions. 11 We made it a point just like we always 12 have to never posture with any of the people within 13 the community. We have been honest and transparent 14 from day one. We've never gone in once, not once, 15 asking for more than what we needed, hoping that there 16 would be some fallback position that we could be happy 17 That's not the way we operate. We've been very with. 18 honest with the community and we appreciate their 19 input. And as a result of their input, as a result of 20 being inclusive in the process, we truly have a better 21 project to present to you. 2.2 We have reasons for why these certain 23 meetings are highlighted. I want to let Toby Millman 24 tell you about that. 25 I think there's actually two MR. MILLMAN:

I want to turn now -- that's us.

of these slides showing all 28 meetings that we had with the community. I think the important thing to recognize with this beyond just the sheer number of meetings that we had since the summer on this project was the process that we undertook.

Very early on in the process, we identified the key organizations. The ANC was the first group that we met with back in June. Before we even had a plan we met with them to ask them, what do you want on the site? What kind of a project are you looking for?

We met with the Capital Hill Restoration Society twice. We met with the Historic Preservation Division. We met with individual residents who called us and said we're interested in finding out more about this project. Is it okay if we come over and meet with you? We always welcome people to come over to our office. We always met with people independently.

After we went through this sort of series of initial meetings with the community, Sharon Ambrose hosted a public meeting, a publicly noticed meeting at the Children's Museum. We didn't have an actual count, but the estimates are that there were probably about a 100 or more people at that meeting. And at that point, that was the first time we unveiled after

the initial community input our initial plans for the 1 2 site and we solicited input. That was back in August. continued to meet with important 3 We even met with the Little Sisters of the 4 groups. Poor, the original owners of the building. Some of 5 them still living had lived in the building when they 6 7 left in the 1970's, to get their feedback. 8 Of course, the Zoning Commission set-down 9 hearing was on September 30th. So, you can see how 10 much we had already met with the community, even 11 before the set-down. Then we met again with the ANC6-C. 12 The red meetings were meetings that were all publicly 13 14 noticed meetings. They were ANC meetings or public 15 meetings. 16 Again, met with the full ANC in October. We met with the Near Northeast Citizens. You may have 17 18 read in the paper the Art-O-Matic event that we had, 19 which was open to the public. Over 40,000 people came 20 in to view locally produced art. That was completely 21 hosted by Abdo Development in the museum building. 22 We continued to meet with the ANC. On 23 December 1st, the Planning and Zoning Committee recommended approval. We met with Stanton Park 24 25 Neighborhood Association. He's here tonight. And

then on December 8th, the ANC voted unanimously to 1 2 support the project. We continued to meet. Follow-up meetings 3 with Capital Restoration Site. We even took Little 4 Sisters of the Poor on a site tour. And then ANC6-C 5 had voted again on a supplemental motion. 6 7 So, again, just wanted to demonstrate the 8 process we went through with this community and where 9 we are today. 10 MR. ABDO: Thank you. We're going to move 11 on now getting into the project. Just want to orient 12 you to where we are, of course. This is the project site. This is the H Street bridge. 13 14 we're zooming in a bit closer. 15 This is a lot of the commercial development that's 16 around us and we'll keep going in and fill you in more 17 on that as we go. This is a lot that's directly 18 across the street from us that has recently sold. 19 And, again, that's just a vacant lot. 20 These are some row houses that exist at 21 3rd and I Street. This is the vacant gas station 22 that's our neighbor across the street from us. is Stewart Petroleum and BP Amoco, something that we 23 with the balance of the community really want 24 along 25 to see something happen with. And we believe that our

project will make that happen.

2.2

Again, commercial development all the way along I Street. These are offices that are happening all the way along I Street opposite us. Same thing along 2nd Street. Commercial development, Railway Express building. All offices. More offices. Bigger offices. SEC Building that you're all very familiar with, diagonally right across the street from our site.

This is what we're dealing with. This is our building. This is our site. This is the level of retail that is existing on the main retail corridor across the street from us right now. Again, diagonally across the street. This is the retail that we have right near our site. And, again, not all unlike 14th Street when we first came over there.

Fragmented retail, boarded up buildings, distressed buildings, and I'm saying this with all due respect to the H Street, Main Street, because they are working their tails off to make this happen. But they will tell you tonight what I'm telling you. And that is, it's housing that's going to drive and change this retail condition of H Street.

Now, we'll go in and talk about what we are going to preserve as preservationists. What's

outlined in blue is the portions of the Capital Children's Museum that are truly historic. But the way the building was originally built 140 years ago, that is what we intend to preserve and focus on.

As well as the amenities building that we talked to you about and this is a true amenity to the site, because this entire building we are preserving and we're giving it as an amenity use to the entire square.

These are portions of the building that we will be removing. These are non-compatible additions that have been added in the 1950s and `60s. They happen along 3rd Street. They happen along H Street right up against the bridge, an old auditorium. happen also along H Street where they filled in the They put this sort of veneer on the building. building. If you drive by the building it's very flat all the way along H Street, not the way it was originally intended, but they needed more space. they filled those areas in and you can see the change of the brick. We're going to peel all that off and allow this building to sort of resurrect itself to its original grandeur.

With all due respect to my friends at the Capital Children's Museum, this is a massive

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 undertaking. They're a nonprofit. They've been 2 running this building for 30 years. It is in difficult shape and it needs some help and that's what 3 we intend to do. 4 of 5 And these some the existing are conditions that we've got before us right now. 6 7 Again, the amenities building that we will 8 completely restore and program into our site and we're 9 going to show you very shortly how we're doing that. 10 This slide that I find is а 11 disturbing. I call it -- what did I call it today? 12 Darth Vader. Let's put Darth Vader up. When we analyzed this site, we looked at 13 14 this by saying, you know what? What is we don't get a 15 But we need to maximize what we can do on this 16 site. What would it look like? Let's study it. And I'm telling you. I couldn't wait to throw this in the 17 trash can when I saw it. It's horrendous. 18 19 You know, this is by right. This is the 20 lot coverage that we're allowed to do. It completely 21 encapsulates the site. It completely walls off the 22 It doesn't respect the historic entire community. structure one bit. And this is not what we want to 23 24 This is why we're before you. do.

What we want to do is this.

25

This is what

we want to program on this site. This is what we've been working for in the last year with the community to accomplish. This is a site, and this is a slide we'll have to stay on for a little bit. It respects the integrity of the historic structure. There's a 30-foot setback all the way around this. There is open transparency that the neighborhood is welcoming to the neighborhood.

Allison Prince talked to you about the lot coverage. I there were what, 65 percent under this plan. We're butt up against this H Street bridge. So, what have we done? We've come up with a way to have the front door of our property take place on I Street. And to utilize this historic building in the center of the site as an amenities building, where you would come in and a cab would drop you off at the circular entry point and you'd come in and be greeted by a concierge who would direct you to one of the three buildings.

We're going to maintain this as a very limited vehicular access all into the site. These are cobblestones and fountains and tremendous amounts of trees and planting taking place all through here. If it's a driving rainstorm and you're coming back from the airport in a cab, you'd be allowed to come back in

2.2

here to drop off -- drop someone off that lives in this building. But that would be a controlled access point that's controlled by the concierge.

Other things we listened to. One of the first things the community said to us. We want your parking entrance on 2nd Street. DDOT said that. Other people said that and we said, you know what? We agree with you. Second Street is a commercial corridor. We have got this dark zone of our site that's right up against the underpass of the H Street bridge.

What did we do? We talked with Philip Esocoff. Came up with a design that has all of our -- all of our parking happening right in this dark corner, including, you know, moving and move out loading zone. All of that is happening down here, not impacting the surrounding streets.

We also listened to OP and DDOT about connecting to the H Street bridge. We studied the H Street plan and saw that they want that bridge to become absorbed by buildings. You know, whether it's the SEC or potentially acreage over the railroad tracks. Look, we're on board for that. We're making direct connections to the H Street bridge. We want that bridge to become more pedestrian friendly.

We are committing ourselves to make connections here. We're studying additional connections even to the historic building to make the H Street bridge not be such an obstacle to H Street anymore. And we're very much committed to that.

We're committed to plantings all around the entire site to green and soften this site. We're committed to greenery on the roof and, you know, environmental sensitive water retention systems that Phil will talk to you about and green roof systems. All of these things are part of our programming and design for the site.

This is our parking garage. Let's talk about parking. You've heard about the number of units that we have. Zoning requires us to have a minimum of one parking space for every four units. We're building 520 parking spaces for 480 units. We're over one to one parking. We heard the community. We heard their concerns. They don't want to all of a sudden all the parking on the street to be taken up, so we have built a parking amenity here that satisfies more than one to one.

And let's not forget this is a smart growth structure. We're right between two major Metro stops. People don't even have to own a car to move

2.2

into this building. You will also note that we've created a parking accommodation that does not impinge on or require us to worry about even underpinning a lot of these historic structure.

Let's walk around the building. This is 3rd Street. This is our elevation from 3rd Street and we'll keep moving.

This is our new entrance to the building. This is, again, if you go up here to the map at the top. This is what we talked about. This is the front door to our square now and you can see how we've used this amenities building to be the welcome center, to be the place that you come to where we'll have a conference room facility. Potentially, you know, community meetings can take place there. That's what we're doing here.

Also note this park here in the front. This is 5,000 square feet of private property that we are creating as public park space with fountains and trees and anyone in the community can access that 24 hours a day.

This is our elevation along 2nd Street, the all commercial corridor. Again, here's what we've done. These people are standing on the H Street bridge. This is that dark underpass that takes place

1 right there. This is where Phil has very well placed 2 the parking garage entrances that the community has asked for. 3 This is how we looked from H 4 H Street. You can see how the bridge impacts our 5 Street. building. Why we're not putting retail in this 160-6 7 year old building? We want to bring residents to 8 support the retail that's already down on H Street. 9 All housing. That's the program. 10 Now, we're showing you some prospectus of 11 how this looks from 3rd Street. How this building looks in conjunction with the historic structure and 12 with what we anticipate will be the massing through 13 14 zoning of the site across the street from us. 15 We're just going to start walking down 16 Street and experiencing 3rd Street. And we're going 17 to keep going. And this is the pedestrian experience of 18 19 3rd Street. What does it look like today? What does 20 it look like for the owners of these row houses today 21 on 3rd Street? That's what it looks like. it look like when we're done? That's what it will 22 look like. 23 24 What will that do for the owners of those

houses and their property values? And just living

across from something that's green, that's soft, that's alive.

I'm going to let Phil very quickly now talk to you about his approach to the new buildings and then I have some follow-up statements at the end.

MR. ESOCOFF: Good evening. Esocoff and Associates we've been in business nine years. I've been practicing in Washington I hate to say for over 25 years now. And the city I have come to actually adore as a home and this is the place where we've raised our child. And both my wife and I are architects and we've lived in a high-rise condominium in D.C. on Connecticut avenue that entire time. So, we're quite aware of what it's like living in a condominium, living downtown and what the quality and characteristics of a building are that make it a great home.

what those buildings We also know contribute to the city living in an historic building on Connecticut Avenue. And my goal is to produce buildings that good as those buildings, are as buildings that will be worthy of restoration, not renovation and that will be attractive for years to come.

The first slide you saw was Florida and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Massachusetts Avenue recently completed. This is sort of, as I think of it, as a nexus between downtown ceremonial Federal Washington on the grid an This is Mass Avenue. Washington. There's the city museum. Chinatown Arch is down there. And this building is sort of on the -- there is sort of a place mark as you come down to make the transition as H Street kind of wiggles its way across mass Avenue.

This is also Massachusetts Avenue. 1499
Mass. Those properties -- it has two carriage levels
of apartments and then a roof top, a recreation space,
a swimming pool and you'll see that later.

And probably our most ambitious project, the Jefferson at Penn Quarter, Square 457-C. It incorporates 13 historic properties. The new home for Willie Mammoth Theater, 250 seats plus a 10,000 square foot component for theater programs that they do with children. And a grocery store. 500 underground parking spaces and over an acre of rooftop gardening courtyard.

A building that I did before starting my office is also on Pennsylvania Avenue just west of Washington Circle, 2401 Penn. This is one of the more interesting mixed-use projects in town. It's retail. Three floors of office and then four floors of

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

residents. Been very well received.

2.2

Going back to what Jim was showing you. Our building has taken its cues from some of the other buildings we've had, so I wanted to try to illustrate what our design has and then show you some built examples of the very types of things we'll doing here. The set-back terrace as you can see is a way to soften and make a nice transition between the 65 foot building here and these different levels of 80 -- of 90, 100 and 110 with these trellises and I'll explain more about those. Next.

You see these trellises actually connect the piers. They provide shade for people on the roof but they also incorporate toilet, kitchen and dryer exhausts so that we don't have penetrations through the exterior of our buildings producing a better complexion for our buildings.

And that's what we did on this building up on Connecticut. So, these are actually exhaust chimneys, which is sort of a contemporary chimney. And the fans are actually above trellis and the trellis provides an armature for growing plants up there and we have automatic watering systems for those plants.

And it produces, of course, a very

interesting skyline, much more reminiscent of the traditional buildings like the one I live in and the ones around Connecticut and Kalorama elsewhere in the city.

Going back to the site plan. The central essential space here is -- a lot of that is on deck, but it can be well planted and use unit pavers. Next.

This is a space of similar proportion but, of course, our space at the Children's Museum will be much more open on either end. The same orientation.

So, sun pours in through here. This dimension across
-- behind the Odd Fellows building is only 65 feet.

Our space between our two buildings is about 75 feet.

And once again it's open on either end.

This is all -- this area back here, loading dock that serves both the grocery store, which is under this grass and beneath the feet of the person taking this photograph is Willie Mammoth's new theater, also under grass. All the surface comes off an alley.

We like to make connections between the interior main lobbies of our buildings through to these courtyards in the center. Though the city does have an Alleys Act that prevents people from having addresses on alleys, apartment buildings that came

after that actually have lots of people living on alleys.

And so our thought is that the interior courts of buildings and alleys -- exposure of buildings need to be very carefully considered as much as exteriors to streets because it's what people's lives are made out of.

This is the roof plan of our building, which actually you have a lot more grass and green space on this. And a pool. This is the west tower. And this is an example of what all these little sort of enclosures and wind screens do. I tell people you'll be comfortable up on this roof and feel like they're really in a garden. Once again, a view out. There's a plane going into National Airport, swimming pool.

And this is a view to the west. It makes some small nice areas for people to sit in.

wanted to blend this And Ι into questions of what are the sort of quantitative objective criteria that I would submit constitute architectural merit. Too often architects have allows architectural merit to fall into just the realm of what architects would call delight and ignore the aspects of architecture that would be termed commodity

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

or firmness.

2.2

And, in fact, those are the two things that actually support the delight and actually justify it economically. And so it's nice to have a trellis, but it's hard to justify a trellis unless you're using it for something else, which are these exhaust flues that are enclosed in this peer, so the people on the roof aren't listening to fans or smelling odors from inside the building. But then that helps support providing something that's very functional, which is the shading that you need if you've ever been on a roof in Washington, other than maybe in October or May.

And can you go back now for just a second.

So, this provides wind screening, private areas so that people on a roof can feel like they're in a sheltered more intimate space. These are communal so it's nice to be able to have a small area you can reserve. Next.

This is by contract is what I would consider, I don't know if you would call it lacking in merit, but I wouldn't consider it architectural merit. Exposed mechanical equipment, a lack of coordination between true wall and mechanical. That's a gas flue. This toilet, kitchen, dryer exhaust if all those are

exhausted hopefully. And that a through wall air to air conditioning unit that is probably the least effective efficient mechanical system you can put into a building. This is under-developed and, of course, oriented to the north.

Going back to the street again. Let's focus now on the bottom.

This is how we developed the base of the building. We worked on a way to create units at the grade level that can be directly accessed from the street. So, we've created these -- you know, I have to admit architecture is a copying art and living and working on opposite sides of Dupont Circle, I cross that area for the last 25 years and I really used that as a place to find answers to questions I can't solve. So, you'll notice a lot of the details of ornamental beam here, the small projecting balconies. These are patterned brick, different colors of brick. Precast trim work, ornamental iron work. These are just things that I've learned by copying fellow architects.

We found modern ways to do that. These are sort of -- this is an ornamental pattern grill that we did for the Jefferson. It integrates some of the floral elements. Wheat and corn. Things that Jefferson as an agronomist experimented with down in

1 Monticello, so we had someone in our office work on 2 It's not something that people learn much n architecture school, in 3 but they learn our architecture school. 4 We know that by bringing windows into --5 doubling up window that we can create a better sense 6 7 of scale that is urbane and more like 19th century 8 buildings which had 11 and 12 foot ceilings. 9 This is an example, once again, of 400 10 used double height windows. where To our we 11 inspections, we used pre-cast trim. You'll notice our 12 pre-cast heads and sills actually are let into the sides, into the brick work so that they actually do 13 function as sills. 14 15 We put a steel shelf angle, which usually 16 sits under those in many projects. We actually sit above it so that you don't look under and think of it 17 as a fiction. 18 19 We also project ourselves to shed water. We get custom color mortar. Multiple colors of brick 20 21 and we worked very hard to achieve that same little 2.2 sense of solidity that the historic buildings in town 23 do. 24 contrast, this is the adjoining Ву

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

Ashen colored pre-cast. Once again flues

building.

flowing heating exhaust right into public space. Someone's bedroom directly at grade. These are not the kinds of things that lead to a good public realm. They lead to broken shades and dentist offices and crumpled papers stuck between the glass and the Venetian blind.

And I really can't say that emphatically really -- I really want the Commission not to think that it's a matter of opinion when people say something has architectural merit. And isn't my opinion just as good as yours. The truth is that architects go to school for five, seven, eight years. They apprentice for three years. They practice for many years to understand these things. dispel it as though it's just a matter of taste really is undermining to the profession, but also undermines the city, because I think people have to understand that, in fact, light and shadow do work differently when windows are recessed and when they're not. water runs down a surface of a brick building, it will etch the glass or it won't, depending upon the way it's detailed. And that building will deteriorate and look shmootzy. It won't patinate.

And I think people have to be discriminating about that and be connoisseurs of good

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

solid construction. Sorry, John, for that rant. But I don't like to see other architects get away with it.

And don't like good architects not getting credit for it either.

Here is something that's terms a cornice, but it's really just a concrete projection. These concrete slab, it is actually a poor form of construction. It will weather poorly. They are used to hold up those two floors of brick. They transfer heat right through the slab into that unit, thereby creating a thermal bridge. These windows are far too shallow and probably a lower STC and R values than buildings we have and, therefore, the glass looks wavy and cheap.

By comparison, we actually dressed up the top of our building. These required scuppers are done in copper and carefully positioned as sort of a secondary rhythm, once again, pre-cast ornament that is ribbed to catch the sunlight in very specific ways.

Here are the sills. This is sort of a modern take that led into the sides. We analyzed the percentage actually, if you'll go back for one second.

We have two minutes, 55 seconds.

Tinted mortar with V-strut joints.

Ornaments once again here. Next.

And here once again. Here's the alley back there behind these buildings and we feel that all these people live on this alley. It's not just people that live on the street that have to have a nice view. Why don't we raise the property values by making the alleys nice places to live too. They're nice quiet courts.

That interior court you saw at the Jefferson is essentially an alley, but it's more than half the units face that. So, why would we not want to embellish that and make it beautiful if only for our neighbors, let alone ourselves?

Thank you.

MR. ABDO: Thank you, Phil.

I think you can understand why we feel very confident that we've selected the right attorney and that we are going to bring something of extreme quality to the H Street corridor.

I might run over just a tiny bit. I do really want to wrap this up and talk to you very quickly about our project amenities and our public benefits. Again, this is just a refresher here. We are committed to bringing new owner residents to the H Street corridor. Not an office building, not an apartment building. Apartment owners don't really

2.2

care about their neighborhoods that much. New resident owners do. They have a vested interest and a stake in their neighborhood and they will see to it that services and things like that change on the H Street corridor. That's why we think new owners are so important.

We know that from our experience on the 14th Street corridor that it's housing that turned it around, not the offices on K Street that were steps away. It wasn't apartment buildings, super-scale apartment buildings that were there. None of that contributed to the revitalization of 14th Street. It was new owner residents that did that. And that's why we need the critical mass of new owner residents at this Gateway project.

Affordable housing. We are bringing the largest on-site affordable housing component in the history of Washington, D.C. through the PUD process to this site. We're not doing that by just a little bit. We're essentially tripling the next closest one that's out there. This is a lot of affordable housing.

And by the way, you know, when we told the community we're bringing almost 20,000 square feet of affordable housing, 19,852 to be exact, those aren't fuzzy math numbers. We're not talking about, oh,

yeah. We still have to carve out corridors and shafts 1 2 and stairwells. That's net. That's net affordable that will result in about 26 affordable 3 housing housing units. And we're very proud of that. 4 This is a Smart Growth project. A lot of 5 6 people use that term loosely. Not here. This is 7 right over top of one of the largest, probably most 8 efficient, transportation hubs in the east coast. 9 Union Station. And in my opinion, it's been 10 completely under-utilized when it comes to housing. 11 And now we have yet another Metro stop 12 right on our doorstep with the new New York Avenue. And we're right between that. 13 14 Historic preservation. You always know how passionate I am about that. You've seen the work 15 16 that we do. I sit on the D.C. Preservation League. 17 We're going to treat these buildings in the right way and we're going to do the right thing by them. 18 19 Superior urban design you've heard from 20 Philip Esocoff. We are certain that that's what he's 21 going to bring to this project. 2.2 Improve street scape. We've already shown you that and we're willing to talk more bout it with 23 24 our landscape architect. 25

Environmental benefits.

Again, green roof

systems, storm water retention, water filtration systems, low-e glass systems. High energy efficient heating systems. All of these things, including our smart growth element will contribute as environmental benefits.

Financial contribution to H Street, Main Street and affordable parking fund. And I want to hold on this for just a minute.

We are -- I was on the founding board of the 14th and U Main Street and I know how hard it is to get a Main Street off the ground. It is a bear. It's very difficult and one of the biggest problems is trying to get money together. So, one of the first things that I said, we brought them in and we sat down and talked to them. And said, we are making a financial contribution to you. The day we get our building permit, you're getting cash from us. And that will continue to happen.

This other item here, affordable parking fund. There were certain individuals within the ANC, certain ANC commissioners that brought up a very valid point to us. They said, Jim. You know, you're doing great things with affordable housing, but what about affordable parking? What if people who have affordable housing need parking?

2.2

Well, you know, we really hadn't thought about that, but we did. We sat down and talked to them. We came back to the ANC and we put together a \$40,000 affordable parking fund. This is a fund that we're funding that will be distributed by DHCD or whatever agency distributes the affordable housing. And they'll do that n the form of grants to help individuals who might be on the cusp and just might not quite be able to afford to purchase parking to get grants. And that's what we've done to help solve that problem.

But I want to be clear about something right here. We are committed to affordable housing, not necessarily affordable parking. We think their issue is legitimate, but we want to encourage people to have home ownership, not necessarily automobile ownership. This is a Smart Growth project that can allow people to move in and spend more on their homes and not even necessarily have a car.

We're committed to LSDBE participation so much that on the project that we did, which was a PUD that you all approved for the Bryan Square, we had a LSDBE requirement there of 35 percent. We achieved 50 percent over and above that requirement for that that project.

2.2

provided apprenticeship programs part of that. We are so committed to LBDBE participation that Mark Turner, one of project managers here with us tonight is -- we are building an LSDBE incubator building over on 1301 8th Street, NE. It's a warehouse structure that we are going to small businesses to come in and take possession of and set up their firms here and register as LSDBE's so they can participate with us on H Street.

Jobs for the neighborhood and D.C. residents. I cannot speak more strongly about that and how committed we are to it. I think one of the best ways to do that for you and this will be the end of my talk tonight, is to illustrate it.

And one of the best ways to do that for me is to introduce you to someone who I am extremely proud and quite frankly that I admire. This is a gentleman named Theo Turner.

He came to me years ago when we were rehabing an abandoned crack house just off the corner of 14th and Rhode Island that I wanted to be our corporate headquarters. And he walked into our job site. I'll never forget this. And he just walked up to me and he said, Mr. Abdo, I live on the streets in

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

this neighborhood and I've been watching you and I've been watching what you're doing. And I want to be a part of it. I need a job. And I said, great. That's great. We want you to be a part of it and you've got a job. And I introduced him to Ken Bice, our senior site superintendent. I said, Ken. This is Theo Turner. He's working for us.

We got him set up. Got him ready to go and he worked that very day.

This last December we celebrated our fiveyear anniversary with Theo as a member of our team.

Five years he's been with us. He lives now in an
apartment that we own that Abdo Development provides
for him. He has a savings account. He is more
optimistic about the future than anyone I know. And
he cares very much about his neighborhood. That is
part of successful development. That's what we're
about.

And look. You know, this isn't just a warm fuzzy story and lip service. We don't just have one Theo Turner in our company. We have many. We have many people within our company that are Hispanic, that are Asian, that are African American, that are African that come from the neighborhoods that we go into and work in. And many of them have stores very

similar to Theo Turner's and we're very, very proud of all of them.

And I can tell you that that is the spirit and the intent and the level of opportunity that we intend to bring to H Street, but we need your help to make that possible. We're ready to do it. The community is ready to do it. And we're passionate about it. And we respectfully and humbly appreciate your support.

Thank you.

MS. PRINCE: We have Marty Wells, the Traffic Engineer here only if there are questions. In addition, we have the landscape architect and one minor housekeeping matter. Although Phil's been qualified as an expert by this Commission before, I neglected to ask you to qualify him tonight if you feel that's necessary again. And the same is the case with Marty. He's been qualified as an expert by this Commission before. Their resumes were submitted in advance.

VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. I am familiar.

Okay. I'm familiar with Mr. Wells, but Phil. I'm sorry, his last name?

MS. PRINCE: This guy. Phil Esocoff.

VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: Esocoff. Okay.

1	Okay.
2	Any problems, gentlemen? Any questions?
3	No problem. Qualify them both as experts. I think
4	they both have before as you previously stated.
5	Are you finished?
6	MS. PRINCE: We've completed our direct
7	presentation.
8	VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: I was wondering. I'm
9	going to ask my colleagues.
10	Should we hear a brief something brief
11	from Mr. Wells and Mr. Esocoff?
12	I'm sorry, we heard from mr. Esocoff. Mr.
13	Wells, and your landscape you can tell I know very
14	little about architecture. That's why, if you ever
15	notice, I don't say a whole lot. But I do sometime.
16	Do we need to hear from okay. If you
17	have questions, you just ask. I want to make sure
18	we're all fine up here. That's all. Okay. Okay.
19	We'll proceed without questions.
20	Thank you.
21	I know Mr. Wells is looking for an
22	opportunity to come up and any questions?
23	No questions. Commissioner Hildebrand.
24	COMMISSIONER HILDEBRAND: I'm sorry. I
25	forgot to turn my mike on. Is that better?

First off I do admire the work you're 1 2 doing on this project. I think it's -- it stands to be a huge benefit to the community. 3 But I am concerned a bit about the height 4 that we're attaining with this new structure. 5 When you were doing your development 6 7 scenarios, did you ever look at maximizing the C-3-B 8 category instead of going for these three, C-3-C? 9 MS. PRINCE: When we were studying the 10 site, it was critically important to have C-3-C zoning 11 because of the 110 foot height that it afforded. 12 that height, we were able to achieve the lot occupancy that created that generous buffer around the historic 13 14 buildings. And that is why we sought the C-3-C 15 zoning. 16 COMMISSIONER HILDEBRAND: So, you couldn't 17 achieve the same thing with C-3-B and just cut out two 18 floors of height? 19 MS. PRINCE: It's a critical balancing act 20 as always. To achieve the density that was absolutely 21 essential to this project, yet protect the integrity of the historic building, we needed a buffer zone, put 2.2 we needed to create the density on pads that required 23 a height of that level. Although I should add that 24 25 there is stepping on the 3rd Street side of the

1	building as Phil explained in great detail.
2	COMMISSIONER HILDEBRAND: Could you go
3	into the elements that make it absolutely essential?
4	MS. PRINCE: I really don't want to
5	testify here, so I'm going to turn it over to either
6	Phil or Jim to Jim is the developer to explain
7	COMMISSIONER HILDEBRAND: Sure.
8	MS. PRINCE: the need to achieve the
9	density.
10	MR. ABDO: It's a I mean without trying
11	to get into a tremendous amount of economics, there's
12	a balance that you need to strike to be able to make a
13	project like this work. And, you know, if we were to
14	take on a by-right development, we could pretty much
15	get cram all the airfare that we really needed to
16	make these numbers work without going to the height.
17	But the project itself was unacceptable.
18	It just was it was terrible. And so we recognized
19	that we needed to pull away from that historic
20	building. We needed to give it room to breathe. We
21	needed to be respectful of it.
22	We also looked at what was around us. We
23	looked at the SSC's structure. We looked at other
24	buildings of significant height. We talked with the
25	Office of Planning. We talked with various people

within the community. And it was really a collective effort that got us to this design, to this mass and it made sense. It works. And that's how we arrived at it.

We listened to concerns that people had when it did come up about height, particularly -- the only concern that we had heard ever was just on the corner of 3rd, opposite the row houses. So, we sat down and met with the community. We came up with new designs. We came up with shadow studies. We stepped that area back to mitigate those concerns. And we have not had those concerns come to us.

COMMISSIONER HILDEBRAND: Okay. In looking at your new elevation, I'm a little concerned about the height of the alternating chimneys. I guess I'm looking at your 3rd Street elevation now and the chimneys appear to be on the building that faces 2nd Street. They are significantly taller than the rest and to my eye they look a bit disproportional. And I think if you could continue to perhaps look at some improvements there, that could be warranted.

MR. ESOCOFF: Certainly happy to do that.

COMMISSIONER HILDEBRAND: I may have some other questions, but if someone else wants to step in.

COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: Just a question

about some of the adjacent buildings and heights. 1 2 SEC Building. How far will that be from the site and then -- do you know the height of that building? 3 That's at 115 feet and the SEC 4 MR. ABDO: will come all the way to and abut up and connect to 5 the H Street bridge right here. 6 7 COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: Okay. So, if 8 we're traveling along H Street moving east, you know, 9 starting around the Hopscotch Bridge, that overall 10 I mean, you see a lot of height and bulk and so 11 forth there, but as you start to travel east, you know, everything sort of drops in scale. 12 Would you say that? 13 14 MR. ABDO: Along the H Street corridor? 15 COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: Yes. 16 MR. ABDO: Yes. I would. 17 COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: Okay. Thank you. MR. ABDO: I want to also note, however, 18 19 that, you know, the massive historic structure that 20 lines H Street, it's really our presence up against 21 that bridge, is 70 feet or so at its highest. are fairly consistent with the rhythm that takes place 22 23 further down the street. And we are very consistent with what sort of is a bookend here with the SEC 24

structure.

MR. ESOCOFF: Okay. I wanted to add one other point too. Is that, you know, we've measured our project from I Street, not -- we're not using H Street bridge at all. But the H Street bridge is almost 12 feet higher here and maybe another 10 feet higher here. So, that the building from this street isn't going to even appear as a 110 foot building. We're lucky if coming up the bridge you'll see it as a marker to start there. But our building is only that one small part and then heads back.

And I saw this also as an opportunity in terms of people coming and going from Washington when they come into town on the Metro from Boston and New York to see Washington as this growing community with attractive buildings flanking this area and sort of on the move as opposed to just office buildings that a substantial residential building was a very positive image for the city to present to the millions of people coming in by rail every day.

MR. ABDO: I want to also add that with the air rights that are contemplated here with the acreage site that we will expect again to have some significant height and massing there that will be very consistent and this will actually work and serve as sort of a transition as you come down the bridge and

2.2

1 enter the H Street corridor. 2 COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: That's the word I was trying to get you to say. Transition. 3 4 MR. ABDO: Thank you. VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Do you have 5 another question for Mr. Abdo? 6 7 I do. COMMISSIONER HILDEBRAND: Yes. 8 driving the symmetry of 9 elevation on I Street. I guess it would be the north 10 elevation. Why do those two towers have to 11 identical in height? Would there be any consideration 12 of stepping down the tower on the left to make what you're calling a transition from the higher zone to 13 the lower? 14 15 MR. ESOCOFF: Well, I mean we were looking 16 at it also coming from the other direction, that there's a transition when you're coming in the other 17 18 direction from here that, you know, there's a five or 19 six story building -- for a building World Vision over 20 here. There's going to be 65-foot building here. 21 This is 65 feet. So, it's going from 65 to 90 to 110. And you know, we see this as sort of a tower to mark 2.2 23 that corner. And, you know, these are two commercial 24 structures.

also point out that

25

shadow

in the

studies that we did when we set these back, you know, the worst part of the year, the shadow that, one, our shadows actually fall across the intersection very dramatically to the north and they don't affect the townhouses. It's the 65-foot building here that actually starts to cast shadows on the other building.

And I quess I keep going back when I think about heights to the 1910 Height Act, which I assume presupposes that if you had an empty site like Mount Vernon Triangle, that based on the, you know, planning the L'Enfant plan and the McMillian theory of Commission and the 1910 Height Act, that Washington can be a beautiful city with buildings that are about 20 feet taller than the width of the street, that that's considered a reasonable height for buildings and not -- and allows neighbors sufficient light and air to have a pleasant environment.

So, I know some people are concerned about that, but when I looked at some of those townhouses, in fact, where shadows could even fall on them, they already have porch to shade their windows. So, it's sort of a shadow on another shadow.

So, you know, sometimes I think there's a fear of things that we analyze in the commuter that seem unfounded, though I can understand people being

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

concerned about it.

2.2

COMMISSIONER HILDEBRAND: Right. Yes. When snow doesn't melt on the street anymore because it doesn't see sunlight in the winter, that's a concern.

But I particularly like your animated roof tops on some of your other buildings. I've always admired them. But one of my concerns here, of course, is that we're going to the maximum possible height and then we're exceeding that height by another story with what becomes a fairly substantial screen above the roof line.

Could you comment on that and why you feel that's appropriate?

MR. ESOCOFF: Yes. Actually having been married in one of those extensions, on 2311 Connecticut Avenue, I actually have great affection for these small intimate areas that have the sky as a roof and have windows to show you views out from that like the Villa Savoy.

And I think that those structures up on the roof not only make the roof a much more beautiful garden environment, but it also would allow people on the street to project a sense of inhabitation to the roof. And so when they look up there, they understand

that I see that's an occupied space. It's a garden. Wouldn't that be nice? It's a way people can project humanity into buildings and imagine what it might be like in the copula, the dome of the Capitol. Or that there might be people meeting under there.

I think it goes back to K Street and the fact that the buildings are so unremittingly in general ugly, that we assume that the only good building is a shorter building. And then looking up in the sky and seeing a building and seeing it extend like a steeple, that someone that can't be bad because there is so much bad architecture in town here.

But I think the challenge is to make that so beautiful that you, in fact, want to focus on that.

And have people think, well, that's a lovely thing.

What a great city to live in.

So, when you come across the town coming south on Connecticut and you see the Woodward, which is where we live and you see that copula, I don't think, gee, that's blocking the sky. What a shadow that might be casting. I think, well, look at the Chinese embassy. Why isn't it as nice as the Woodward?

And when you look at the cathedral off in the distance, hundreds of feet high, you don't think.

1	Gee, why isn't it more like the Russian embassy that
2	we see from our roof, a square block of utilitarian
3	space as low as it can get. Right? Well, I guess
4	that sort of sums up my position.
5	We want to make these things beautiful
6	extensions like you would see Gaudi have or, you know,
7	I mean, that's one of our favorite architects.
8	COMMISSIONER HILDEBRAND: Right. It's
9	just the concern is that they don't become so
10	monolithic that they essentially become an extra
11	story.
12	MR. ESOCOFF: Right. Well, these are very
13	transparent. You know, if you see what we've done. I
14	mean, I think, coming down Mass Avenue and looking at
15	the top of 1499, you can actually see through the
16	trellises or 2401 Penn I think people see up there and
17	they see, you know, the stars and, you know, the flags
18	and then they see the chimneys and the perforated
19	metal beams.
20	COMMISSIONER HILDEBRAND: Let's go to a
21	more mundane question.
22	MR. ESOCOFF: Okay. I'm hoping people
23	will get married on the roofs of these buildings.
24	COMMISSIONER HILDEBRAND: With the loading
25	facility in one remote corner of the project, if I'm

moving in to the building that's on the northeast 1 2 corner, where do I offload my furniture and how do I get it from the loading dock to my house? 3 MR. ABDO: Phil, I'll start and then you 4 can continue. 5 6 MR. ESOCOFF: Okay. 7 MR. ABDO: If you want to go to the 8 parking plan if you want to, if you can. Okay. Well, 9 that's fine. 10 You unload there. I mean, they are at the 11 loading -- Phil has designed all the loading docks in 12 response to the community there in that location and the building is designed such that all the functions 13 14 and the ability to move that stuff within those 15 buildings happens from that point. And you can 16 explain that. Right. On the lower level 17 MR. ESOCOFF: we actually have a path that allows people to come 18 19 over and use the other elevator core. In fact, the 20 trash goes to the lower levels of the garage as they 21 do in other buildings. And they have these little 22 tractors and they can actually pull trash all the way from here through the garage and then inside over to 23 24 the loading dock. And it will be an inconvenience to

people moving in, but it will be a requirement.

	And also since this isn't a rental, the
2	amount of move-ins and move-outs will be less and so I
3	think that will work.
4	COMMISSIONER HILDEBRAND: Yes. I think
5	that's I think people will find that a substantial
6	inconvenience.
7	Is that a utility corridor that connects
8	from the loading dock to the other elevators, so that
9	you're not actually walking by people's entrances to
10	their homes as you're moving your furniture down the
11	corridor?
12	MR. ESOCOFF: No. They can move through
13	the lower level of the garage.
14	COMMISSIONER HILDEBRAND: It's through the
15	garage?
16	MR. ESOCOFF: Right.
17	COMMISSIONER HILDEBRAND: Do you have a
18	plan of that you could
19	MR. ESOCOFF: Well, we have the garage
20	plan and the loading dock plan.
21	COMMISSIONER HILDEBRAND: If you could
22	give me a reference please?
23	I remember seeing the loading dock plan
24	but I saw a corridor that's kind of it that kind of
25	had a continuation line and then abruptly changed to a

	residential floor plan.
2	MS. SCHELLIN: We need the mikes on
3	please.
4	MR. ESOCOFF: Right. What we were showing
5	there was actually the entry at the bridge level. The
6	next plan, the garage plan actually shows the loading
7	dock which is actually lower than that.
8	MS. PRINCE: Commissioner Hildebrand, if
9	you look in the small reduced 8 ? by 11 package, it's
10	on page 18.
11	COMMISSIONER HILDEBRAND: This one? Okay.
12	Hold on for just a moment. Yes. I see.
13	So, if I unload in this location, I
14	actually cart my furniture through the garage to get
15	to the other elevators?
16	MR. ESOCOFF: I think the movers would do
17	that.
18	COMMISSIONER HILDEBRAND: I can't afford a
19	mover. But so that corridor that is shown there that
20	just starts and then ends in the garage
21	MR. ESOCOFF: That actually goes up to the
22	other level, a breakaway section.
23	COMMISSIONER HILDEBRAND: That's at the
24	residential level.
25	MR. ESOCOFF: Right.

1	COMMISSIONER HILDEBRAND: Okay. And the
2	same would be true what about for the historic
3	property. How would they move furniture?
4	MR. ESOCOFF: Do you see where that piece
5	of sort of brown area of the loading dock?
6	COMMISSIONER HILDEBRAND: Yes.
7	MR. ESOCOFF: They can actually
8	COMMISSIONER HILDEBRAND: Is that a tie-in
9	there?
10	MR. ESOCOFF: Yes. That's a tie-in. They
11	can go into the other building underneath the stairway
12	that leads from the H Street bridge, which don't
13	forget is 10 feet. It sort of forms a roof to that
14	and then the stairs go down.
15	COMMISSIONER HILDEBRAND: Okay.
16	MR. ESOCOFF: It's complicated and it's
17	not ultimately convenient, but I've gone around town
18	and seen too many big open loading docks.
19	COMMISSIONER HILDEBRAND: Oh, trust me. I
20	don't like open loading docks either, but
21	MR. ESOCOFF: Right.
22	COMMISSIONER HILDEBRAND: it might be
23	beneficial if there was some way to get a loading
24	facility closer to the other elevator.
25	Actually, I think that was all I had.

	VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: Let me go straight to
2	Mr. Esocoff, architect.
3	I wanted to ask you. You expounded about
4	merit and architects going school and it's more than
5	just an opinion. I actually wish I had that in
6	writing. But anyway, if you could just give me a
7	condensed version. I would like to hear that again.
8	It's actually it's actually other
9	things I would like to hear. I've never heard that
10	expressed in that manner.
11	MR. ESOCOFF: Right. Well
12	VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: So, if my colleagues
13	would indulge me.
14	MR. ESOCOFF: Well, let's go back 2,000
15	years to Vertruvious. It's a Roman to find
16	architecture
17	VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: But remember I asked
18	for the condensed
19	MR. ESOCOFF: This is a short bridge.
20	VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: I asked for the
21	condensed version.
22	MR. ESOCOFF: Yes. And then we'll
23	VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: Condensed. Very
24	condensed.
25	MR. ESOCOFF: Right. He just said that
l	

the point is that was he hit it on the head with those three things, that there's delight in architecture, there's commodity, which is really just accommodating what it's supposed to be for, it's useful purpose.

And there was firmness, which is the architect show what an architect is supposed to now, which is how you build a building to last. Not just that it lasts until they take the architectural photo, but to actually last well beyond the yellowing of the pages of that architecture magazine.

And, in fact, I would even go so far as to say a building that would actually get better overtime, like a fine wine. Because, for instance, we did a building on Connecticut Avenue next to the National Trust in limestone. That building looked better five years after it was done than the first year, because the limestone had mellowed and gotten a little weathered, just like breaking in a leather jacket. It had gotten better with age.

So, one the failings of the American Institute of Architects is that they don't allow you to enter a building for an award after it's been -- after it's been open five years. In fact, they should restrict it, that you can't enter a building for an award until it's five years old.

2.2

To prove that, in fact, as a piece of construction, you'd know what you were doing.

There's one thing to do with sculpture that people then use as building, but then it starts to fall apart. That's not actually architecture. That's architectural. It may be a comment in architecture, but it's not actually architecture.

The buildings we love like the Cathedral, actually get better as they get dirty, because they patinate. In other words, they get a patina like copper gets green. And so that's one characteristic of architecture is that it sheds water. It keeps people warm in the winter. It doesn't use an undue amount of energy.

That, you know, you can lay out furniture. actually is of what That part makes good architecture, that it lasts, that it's really superb at its function and then there a transcended aspect of it, not to get too philosophical. But there should be something that lifts you out of yourself when you see it, the way I feel when I go to the University of instance or the Salk Virginia, for Institute or Chartres Cathedral. There is some moment when you're just awe struck. And you think beyond it just being a pile of bricks.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

1	And it's a characteristic of a building
2	that a dog wouldn't appreciate, but only a human being
3	would. Because a dog would appreciate a warm house,
4	you know, that it can curl up in. It's not too big.
5	It's not too small. People appreciate that too.
6	If a dog doesn't know if the house lifts
7	that person spiritually and that's why we have
8	cathedrals and churches and we didn't just happen by
9	chance and put the capital on access. You know?
10	VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: All right. All
11	right. Thank you.
12	MR. ESOCOFF: I could go on for another
13	week or so.
14	VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: I'm sure, but I
15	appreciate it. No, actually, I really appreciate it.
16	MR. ESOCOFF: Actually I've become less
17	passionate about architecture as you can tell over the
18	years.
19	COMMISSIONER HILDEBRAND: Next time, add
20	the Capitol in there somewhere.
21	MR. ESOCOFF: The Capitol.
22	VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: The other thing
23	that
24	MR. ESOCOFF: The house of the people on
25	access for the first time in history. That's an
J	

1	amazing moment. UVA, the first time the library, a
2	symbol of everybody's access to advance themselves
3	through their own hard work and merit, was put on
4	access. Not the Pope, not the King of France but the
5	symbol of opportunity, that library. That's why UVA
6	is voted the best architectural piece by more
7	architects, more consistently than any other piece of
8	work.
9	And it's a pattern for the Salk Institute.
10	VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: I think next time
11	I'll ask for the long version.
12	But thank you very much. I appreciate
13	that.
14	MR. ESOCOFF: You're welcome.
15	VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: That will help me on
16	other issues.
17	Mr. Abdo, I just wanted to say that the
18	Bryan School at that time having dealt with them and
19	normally we don't bring other cases, but that was a
20	model of the way the community worked with their
21	project and I'm glad to hear the report back.
22	And as Mr. Willer would tell you, one of
23	my issues is always the LSDBE's which I hear you have
24	superceded that in setting up the place down at 1301 -
25	- turn your mike on.

1 MR. ABDO: Yes. 1301 8th Street, NE. 2 VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: I'm glad to hear that. 3 The other thing is the parking spaces and 4 I think you mentioned 529 and I know you're within the 5 requirement of the zoning ordnance, but what are you 6 7 going to do with -- what are those additional spaces? 8 Are they going to marked for visitors or how is that 9 going to work? 10 Well, we're going to make, you MR. ABDO: 11 parking available to, you know, additional 12 parking available to unit owners for quests' use. Ιt maybe that the condominium association ultimately 13 14 decides to pool some of those spaces to allow for 15 guest use. 16 We are also making accommodation -- I don't know whether or not we brought it up here during the 17 18 evening that making of the we are 19 accommodation for ZipCar or FlexCar to have a position 20 in the building or on the property. 21 We really truly believe that, you know, 2.2 four to one is the requirement. We're better than one And we really think that this is a true 23 to one. 24 opportunity for people to participate in housing 25

without the need for a car, given the location of this

1	building and its access to public transportation.
2	So, we wholeheartedly believe that there's
3	an absolute abundance of parking for the site.
4	VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. I'm glad you
5	mentioned the ZipCar and FlexCar.
6	But there's one thing that's on the ANC
7	letter, which I was going to bring up that you brought
8	up about the ZipCar already.
9	The bicycle parking. I know you obviously
10	have a good working relationship with the community
11	from what I'm hearing thus far. So, I'm sure that
12	you've done a number of things, but where were you
13	with the bicycle parking? Is that something that's
14	still out there, or
15	MR. ABDO: No, no. It's part of the plan
16	and I'll let Phil speak to that. But that is an
17	integral part of the building is abundant bicycle
18	parking.
19	VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: So, I guess the short
20	version is basically I'm looking at the list here from
21	the ANC. Basically everything here you all have
22	worked it out?
23	MR. ABDO: Yes. And candidly
24	VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: I don't really need
25	to

1 MR. ABDO: No, no. I mean but candidly, 2 the ANC, you know. There's members of the ANC that I mean, this goes back to what we 3 had great ideas. I mean, you're got Commissioners 4 talked about before. that, you know, that we are looking to lean on as we 5 go forward with this project. 6 7 You know, Commissioner Pernell, 8 Commissioner -- all the Commissioners that you saw up 9 here before have come forward and told us, we will be 10 a conduit for you to help residents of this community 11 to get jobs. They also came up with ideas. 12 Richard Leyman, I remember came up with the idea with FlexCar 13 14 and other members and ZipCar and other members of the 15 I mean, these are all ideas that we embrace. 16 We don't have every answer. And I tend to get 17 uncomfortable around people that think they do. 18 know, this is is And, you -- this 19 collectively -- I tried to say that at the very 20 beginning. A team effort to put this together for you 21 and we're very proud of it and we're very thankful of all these community groups that stepped in and helped 2.2 23 us make this a great project. 24 VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: I know the work of

1 that endorsement doesn't come lightly. So, I can tell 2 you've definitely done some work there in that community. 3 So, has he. 4 MR. ABDO: I mean, he's passionate about his constituents. 5 VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yes. 6 7 And he's right to be that way MR. ABDO: 8 and look, we know -- we recognize true leadership 9 within that community. 10 Commissioner Dixon and other, Anthony 11 think is going be Rivera, we to an amazing 12 These are people that really care about Commissioner. the people that live in that neighborhood. And they 13 14 are going to help us with our outreach. They're going 15 to help us with the apprenticeship programs. 16 going to help along with the H Street/Main Street and others to bring people into the fold. 17 If we're going to bring \$150 million of 18 19 development to that block, we want as much of it to 20 stay in that neighborhood as it humanly possible. And 21 they are the ones that are going to help make that 22 happen. 23 VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: And I'll just say 24 this because you took a lot of my questions that I

normally ask in other cases.

The affordable housing issue. You have definitely raised the bar and, again, as I said in Bryan's School the model between the community and the Applicant at that time, I would say you have raised the bar with the affordable housing issue and it's good to see.

MR. ABDO: And I thank you very much and I also want to go back to state that, you know, part of, you know, we did talk about some issues of height and things like that. You know, there is an impact. As we start even considering shrinking things here, that's impacted directly. And we want, you know, we struck a balance here. We worked really hard, you know.

We had a talk in our office awhile back, you know. Isn't this a perfect PUD? You know, is there such a thing as a perfect PUD? Maybe not. We feel that this is darn close to it and we've really done everything we could to keep everybody together on the same page and make this a win/win all the way across the board.

And I thank you for your comment.

VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Ms. Prince, I just have one question for Mr. Wells. I don't know if anybody else does. And it's very good that nobody can

2.2

1 see behind this. Papers is just everywhere. 2 But Ι can tell you that Potomac Development Corporation raised about the impact on 3 Second Street, what this addition would do from a 4 traffic standpoint. And I'm not sure if you're 5 familiar with that January 13th, 2005, letter. I'm 6 7 sorry, January 13th, 2005. 8 And they talk about an addition to the 9 main service entrance from the station place. They 10 just talk about all of the heavy traffic that is going 11 to be on Second Street. And to where we were talking about proposing, I believe, an entrance. 12 Have you looked into that? 13 14 MR. WELLS: Yes, sir. I'm familiar with the letter and we did, 15 16 in fact, prepare a transportation impact study. 17 was reviewed by DDOT. They concur in our opinion that 18 the traffic levels are manageable. 19 We looked at the existing traffic volumes. We looked at the additional traffic that SEC and I 20 21 think ATF and other projects that are construction will add there. 2.2 And we looked additional traffic that this project would generate. 23 24 And we ridded it to that single driveway and we

believe it's manageable. DDOT concurs with that that

it is a manageable traffic impact.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

And it's my opinion also that that driveway for the reasons that Mr. Abdo and Mr. Esocoff the right listed. that's place for the garage The right place is not on I Street or Third entrance. Street. It should be on Second Street.

VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: And let me ask, Mr. Abdo, one other question. It may be in here somewhere. This is a voluminous record.

During the construction, if everything moves forward during the construction, have you worked out a way and I'm sure you probably worked with the neighborhood, have you worked out a way with where your folks who are going to be doing the construction are going to park and all of those types of things?

MR. ABDO: Great question. We will be relying not only on our traffic engineer, but we have talked with certain leaders, Stanton park Neighborhood group, in particular, that brought that to our attention.

Without question, we're going to meet with the neighborhood. We're going to come up with a traffic plan that's palatable, that makes sense. The last thing that we ever do is come into a neighborhood and be a bad neighbor. We want to be a good neighbor

1 from the git-go. And if there are ideas and ways to, 2 you know, to accommodate, we will absolutely. VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. That's all the 3 4 questions I have. Commissioner Parsons. 5 COMMISSIONER PARSONS: I want to thank you 6 7 for the landscape plan that you produced. Actually, I 8 want to thank you for the entire presentation. 9 It is a very thorough, well done PUD 10 application. Some of our are getting a little sloppy. And this is not one of them. 11 In the area of the landscape plan, I'm 12 very impressed, not only a careful selection of a 13 14 variety of species for each particular area, but a 15 commitment to size, which is unusual in these times. 16 So, that's great. I am concerned to make sure that we have 17 18 in the record the right roof plan. That is, there was 19 on in the October booklet. There is one here that's 20 free-floating that arrived in the record recently. 21 And then there's the one on the screen. Each one gets I think you finally invited the landscape 22 architect onto the roof. 23 24 Is that what happened? It's all right. 25 But it's getting better, Phil.

	MR. ESOCOFF: It's evolving and, obviously
2	we want to get it to have a garden.
3	COMMISSIONER PARSONS: Really, it's pretty
4	sterile in these renditions and this one is getting
5	there. So, I want to make sure this is in the record
6	and an equal commitment that you made in the design
7	details down on the ground plan.
8	So, this does look like a place that
9	somebody might want to get married in, but this one
10	does not. So, is that in our record or is this a new
11	exhibit tonight?
12	MR. ABDO: It can be a new exhibit
13	tonight.
14	I mean, the way this
15	COMMISSIONER PARSONS: It's somewhat
16	better advanced.
17	MR. ABDO: It is in the record.
18	COMMISSIONER PARSONS: Oh, well.
19	MR. ABDO: That's what I'm told.
20	COMMISSIONER PARSONS: Well, so much
21	paper, so little time. I don't find it.
22	MR. ABDO: I just want to say that we are
23	constantly, you know, to lead up to tonight. This is
24	our big night and we have been constantly refining
25	this, trying to make it better and better as we go.

And I know that we got stuff to you very early so that we could get into the system that didn't reflect where we ultimately knew we were going to be. And what you're seeing now is that refinement.

COMMISSIONER PARSONS: Well, I hope that more than a PowerPoint kind of exhibit comes in as a separate landscape plan and maybe you can embellish it as you have on the ground plan with plant materials. The variety of material you want to use and just give it the same level of treatment.

Thank you.

MR. ABDO: Thank you.

VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: Mr. Jeffries. Yes.

COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: Well, first of all I would like echo Commissioner Parson' comments on this presentation. I've been on this I mean, Commission for nine months and I have, you know, consistently been puzzled by really what makes some of these applications PUDs when you really look at the definition and I really do feel that this project in totality is so incredibly comprehensive and covers so much ground in so many different area. And in places where you sort of wish that, for example, the entrance more centralized it's parking could and be forgivable given, know, maintenance of the you

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

historic structure and how this sits.

2.2

And also when you really consider the western gateway of H Street plan and really looking at this piece, this part is sort of being transitional from the more height that is sort of west of it. The height really does not bother me.

And I think that the design and the attention to design has been, you know, absolutely compelling and I am very thankful to hear an architect talk about design and the way that Mr. Esocoff has. And I agree with you wholeheartedly, having been an architecture graduate from Notre Dame, I do understand that so often, you know, everybody's a designer. You know. Everybody can step up and make a comment.

So, I have a couple of comments and one is, I was interested in getting a sense of a view of this development as you're traveling east, almost on top of the Hopscotch Bridge going east, trying to get a sense of how all that looks. I mean, sort of the comparison being adjacent to the bridge and looking at some of the low rise.

I don't think I've seen anything like that, but I think that would have been an interesting view. Because, again, this whole notion of approach and gateway, I think that really would have captured

1 that whole notion of creating a gateway. 2 So -- and then the other question I had is that I looked under the community outreach, page 9, 3 and all the meetings, and I didn't see H Street CDC. 4 And I didn't -- and I was wondering whether they had 5 any level of participation in the community as it 6 7 relates to this project? 8 MR. MILLMAN: Okay. We did have telephone 9 conversations with key people at H Street CDC. 10 asked to -- if they wanted to meet with us and they 11 said -- I believe they're actually here tonight to 12 testify. So, I'm not sure exactly what they're going but my understanding is that they are a 13 to say, 14 proponent of the project. 15 COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: Okay. 16 MR. MILLMAN: We just didn't meet with 17 them in person. 18 COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: Okay. Okay. 19 And also I noted and I had some questions 20 from Office of Planning but I can really sort of ask 21 them about the whole notion of tax revenues and so forth generated from a project of this scale and size. 2.2 23 And I'm also very appreciative because we see so many mixed-use projects in this business for ground floor 24 25 retail with housing above. You know, let me tell you

1	didn't always work. It really doesn't. And it's
2	easier said than done. And it's good to see a
3	development that is pure housing, that really
4	incorporates the open green space. And this project
5	will be a driver for so much of the retail that in
6	many cases is somewhat underutilized along H Street.
7	So, I'm interested in hearing those who
8	are opposed to this, but I must say and I'm just going
9	to be very frank. I'm very much inclined to go
10	forward with this.
11	VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: Should be stop now?
12	No. That's just a joke. That was just a joke.
13	Any other questions for Mr
14	I'm going to ask the one party we have,
15	Mr you know, one thing about me. I always try to
16	do everything off the top of my head. But I think a
17	true Tallant and whoever is going to represent the
18	Stanton Park neighborhood Association, if they have
19	any cross examination for the Applicant?
20	Okay. Let the record reflect that they
21	spoke and said they do not have any cross examination.
22	Next, we'll go to the Report of the Office
23	and, again, the ANC. Let me just say the ANC
24	normally is a party because of the way their letter is
25	stated. They did not actually it was not stated to

	the fact that I think we can give them great weight.
2	But we will hear from them when they come up.
3	Am I correct, Ms. Schellin?
4	MS. SCHELLIN: Yes. It's just that their
5	letter did not authorize anyone to represent them
6	tonight. The Chair is not here is my understanding.
7	Oh, the Chair is here.
8	VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: The Chair is here.
9	Okay.
LO	Mr. Dixon? Okay.
11	Well, since he's here, and he is the
12	Chair.
13	Do you have any cross examination of the
14	Applicant?
15	I'm going to ask you to do this for me.
16	Come to the microphone so we can get you on the
17	record.
18	MR. DIXON: Good evening. My name is Mark
19	Dixon, ANC Chair 6C.
20	VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: Good. Do you have
21	any cross examination?
22	MR. DIXON: Not at the time right now.
23	I have my zoning representative who wants
24	to speak on behalf of our Commission.
25	VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: He is going to speak

1	on behalf of the Commission?
2	MR. DIXON: Yes. He is. I did give him
3	the permission to do so.
4	VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: Let that reflect that
5	the Chair has said that they do have permission.
6	That's saves us the trouble.
7	Thank you.
8	MR. DIXON: Okay.
9	MS. PRINCE: If I could just interject
10	once again.
11	The formal resolution of the ANC and it's
12	only the written resolution that's entitled to great
13	weight does not authorize any individual Commissioner
14	to speak on behalf of the ANC tonight.
15	So, I believe that any ANC Commissioners
16	here while welcome to testify are testifying on their
17	own personal behalf and not on behalf of the ANC.
18	VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: Ms. Prince, typically
19	and you're here probably more than I am, but typically
20	I believe that when the Chair, at least on the
21	Commission, I believe that when the chair is present
22	we usually take the word of the chair, typically.
23	And they are in support, I believe, aren't
24	they?
25	I mean, I could see if they were

MS. PRINCE: That's fine. 1 2 VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Thank you. I forgot where I was. 3 So, the Report of the Office of 4 Okay. Planning. 5 6 MR. McGETTIGAN: Thank you, Mr. Chair, 7 members of the Commission. 8 is David McGettigan from 9 Office of Planning. With me is Ellen McCarthy, 10 Interim Director of the Office of Planning, Cindy 11 Petkac, who is the Ward 6 Planner. suppose the Commission hasn't met a 12 Ι developer like Jim Abdo before. It is certainly 13 14 unusual see one who is so committed 15 enthusiastic about his project. Committed to historic 16 preservation and wants to do a quality project that's 17 going to be a lasting building. And his choice of Phil Esocoff as an architect, I think, is an example 18 19 of his commitment to a quality project. When we have PUDs come through, not all of 20 21 them, you know, express this thoroughness and great 2.2 degree of commitment to the standards that the PUDs 23 are looking for. And I think some of the important 24 things that they've presented to us that are above and

beyond, particularly are the architecture discussion.

And we outlined in our report a list of all the elements that Phil sent to me that he feels are above and beyond what a normal architecture would be.

And it's -- you know, some of the things are very simple elements, but I think combined together we could say that this architecture has some merit and some superior qualities to it.

I also got a list from him of all the green technologies and elements that are making this building environmentally friendly that are going to reduce the run-off, reduce the energy consumption. And I think these are all important things that go into the architecture and that also support the environmental elements of the Comprehensive Plan to put these in our buildings. We want to see more green buildings in our PUDs.

And then the third thing is the affordable housing, which is a significant amenity for this. Our Report evaluates the Comprehensive Plan and goes over some of the -- how this project meets that in many different ways, the goals of the Comprehensive Plan and I can answer any specific questions about it. And I'll let Ms. McCarthy discuss some of the amenities of the project and how they meet these standards.

MS. McCARTHY: Thank you. Good evening,

Mr. Chair and members of the Commission.

2.2

We've certainly heard your admonition to keep it short because we understand that Mr. Esocoff used up all our time. And we will --

BICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: I don't think I said that public, but --

MS. McCARTHY: We'll be happy to do that but there are some important things that I think we want to get on the record about this project.

The Office of Planning is very happy to support this project and to recommend strongly its approval. And some of the things that we would like to signal include going back to one of the basic important jobs that the Office of Planning always with the PUD is to talk about what is the relief that is requested, the flexibility for the zoning regulations and whether or not the amenities that are provided balance off that relief.

The relief that's requested in this case is there is approximately 122,625 feet of bonus density that is provided over and above what would be achieved as a matter of right. But it's important to note that a substantial portion of that is bonus only in that the height that would be a matter of right height on the property is exceeded by this project as

part of an overall solution to try to provide the openness and the stepping back from the historic building that otherwise would not -- it would not be possible to maintain as Mr. Abdo testified earlier, density sufficient to provide some of the quality of the project and still retain the historic building were it not possible to pile some of that density into additional height over and above what would be permitted as a matter of right.

We too had expressed some concern to the developer, some of the concerns that Mr. Hildebrand mentioned earlier about the height and we're happy to -- we're pleased that we were able to sit down and work with Mr. Abdo and Mr. Esocoff on some very detailed shadow studies, looking very closely at where the shadows would fall. We were very concerned about the low-scale row houses across Second Street as the crest of Third Street and were one of the reasons why Mr. Esocoff cut that notch out of the back tower that we could then look and see that it had, even though it is a small notch, it was located in just the right place to provide sunshine to the low-scale houses across the street.

So, we were satisfied in terms of the shadow studies that, although the tower is high, the

2.2

light and air across the street had been provided for.

We also noted that, although the Comp Plan doesn't give us much guidance in terms of height and density on the site because it's characterized as institutional on the land use map, what is around -it is in as Mr. McGettigan report notes, it's in the Eckington development opportunity area, which is an area that encourages development adjacent to the rail yards. And it is immediately adjacent to a large swath of the Comprehensive Plan. It's in Mr. McGettigan's report that is production and technical employment, which generally permits a substantial bulk in size of building. And, I believe, a moderate, medium to high density commercial.

So, the kind of scale of the project that we're talking about, we think, is not inappropriate to the guidance that the Comprehensive Plan gives us. At least the more specific guidance that we had on what is south and west of the project.

But we want to note that Mr. McGettigan's report gives you a very long list of what we consider to be substantial public benefits and amenities from the project.

Some that we just wanted to highlight tonight, that we think are important is, first of all,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

to recognize that this is construction of housing on a site that is commercially zoned. And that important not only because of what we all recognized as the tax and revenue benefits to the District from encouraging additional residents and additional home ownership, but it's also then important because of that 120,000 square feet of bonus the developer has percent of that bonus affordable housing and affordable at the 80 percent level of the area-wide median income.

So, almost 20,000 square feet is a substantial contribution of affordable housing.

The preservation of this structure. I mean, an 1873 building, the restoration of that is a not inconsiderable expense. It's a very important building in our local history. I feel personally attached to it as important local history, because I sang there as a child when it was still Little Sisters of the Poor and the housing for the elderly that they provided on the site, which I realized means I am getting to the historic level, to the historic age.

But the site planning --you know, many times developers will come before us and they will talk about site planning as an amenity of the project.

But in this case, as Mr. Parsons recognized, not only

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

the landscape planning superb in terms of choice of plant material and the heights, but the site planning, the connections to H Street which, you know, when Union Station and the Air Rights Development occurs, across the street from Union Station will be so important for taking what is now a fairly sterile environment and making that a much more pleasant pedestrian path to connect Union Station and the downtown basically with H Street and encourage -- you will recall this Commission spent quite a bit of time stressing for station plates, the importance of an H And I think there were some -- we Street entrance. had some problems convincing the developers of station plates that it was important to have a direct access onto H Street.

But this project, because of the direct connections will only reinforce the quality of that pedestrian environment and make it easier for us to draw people down into H Street to the retail that is increasingly becoming revitalized along H Street.

And so I just wanted to mention, because normally the Office of Planning is very strong on ground-floor retail with residential above, although we acknowledge as Mr. Jeffries said, that sometimes that difficult to make work well.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

1 2 3

> 6 7 8

10

11

5

16

17

18 19

20

212223

25

24

In this case, the lack of retail on the ground floor did not trouble us at all partly because of the historic building and how difficult it would be to make retail work there. But also because of the H Street plan, the market study done for the H Street plan had indicated that the level of market demand for retail on H Street was not the demand for retail that could be supported by the neighborhood was not high enough to support the amount of retail that's on H So, our plan recommended concentrating Street now. in the middle part of H Street retail focusing on high intensity residential development on this side, on the west side of H Street. Then ending having the high density residential, having a retail section, having an art-related section and then ending up at the former Hechinger mall on the far eastern side of the stretch of H Street.

So, the last thing, we would just direct your attention to is the important role that this project played in the larger context of the community, that it establishes a residential anchor to keep a 24-hour presence at the street level on the west side of H Street, which will help balance the massive commercial development that this station plays and the additional commercial developments around there that

1	were pointed out in the beginning of the presentation.
2	And that this kind of major investment in a
3	residential project on the western end of H Street
4	will then bookend the Arboretum Place, Clark
5	Construction project on the east side of H Street, and
6	help us keep filling in in the middle with the Atlas
7	Theater and the development around there to bring back
8	H Street to the kind of glory of the important
9	neighborhood retail street that it was and to bring
10	back some of the vacant and underutilized buildings
11	that are there.
12	So, we think this will have an important
13	catalytic effect and we're very pleased with the
14	quality of the architecture, with the preservation
15	amenity and the construction of the housing with
16	affordable housing. And we would be happy to answer
17	any questions about our report.
18	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: I have a question
19	and a comment.
20	In terms of the affordable housing, I now
21	that you get to for that to that has not quite
22	been fully worked out in terms of like levels of
23	income. I mean, are we just looking at
24	MS. McCARTHY: Well, we've passed out a

sheet tonight which does represent the essence of the

1	or we gave it to Ms. Schellin. Right?
2	MS. SCHELLIN: Yes.
3	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: Okay. I'm sorry.
4	Okay.
5	MS. McCARTHY: That we did meet with the
6	developer and further flushed out some of the details
7	and what we're handing out to you tonight is that that
8	information which was developed after the Office of
9	Planning had submitted its report.
10	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: Okay. So, it's
11	saying here having household income not exceeding 80
12	percent of area median income.
13	MS. McCARTHY: Right.
14	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: So, that could
15	mean that all 26 units are at 80 percent of area
16	median income?
17	MS. McCARTHY: That could be the case.
18	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: Okay.
19	MS. McCARTHY: We you will recall that
20	when we set that standard, it was, we worked with the
21	Department of Housing and Community Development and
22	the standard that they set for their housing purchase
23	assistance program is 80 percent AMI. So, we were
24	able to offer and then we started with started
25	at that level with the Washington Clinic project. We

wanted to design something that would work easily and effectively for the developer because DHCD was already certifying. People already had a list of certified potential purchasers that there were there on the HPAC list, so we're using that same listing.

COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: Okay. I am having NCRC and so forth when we always real to try to look for diversification of some various income points, not just 80 percent, but 60 percent and 50 percent. So, you know, again. I think that there are quite a few benefits associated with this. So, I'm not going to make a fuss, but just duly noted that, you know, I typically, in terms of affordable housing, would like to see, you know, various incomes, you know, as part of the overall affordable housing package.

And given that there are really a number of families that are at the 50 percent and the 60 percent, I understand what you're saying, Ellen, in terms of how this was all generated, but I just wanted to really put that for the record.

And then my comment is that, you know, listening to the story of H Street it just reminds me in terms of the bookends between the western gateway and then Hechinger Mall and that those -- those forces start to really work to sort of build in development

one block at a time.

2.2

It's a very similar story for 14th Street, you know, when you consider and the Tivoli and all that's going on, northern Columbia Heights and then looking at U Street or even further south. And obviously you have Bill Hecht that had a lot to do with that. So, it's actually a very interesting sort of connection between sort of what you're looking to do here and then what actually has occurred at 14th Street.

So, thank you.

MR. McGETTIGAN: If I could comment.

There is some variety in the affordable housing that will be provided. There is going to be some one bedroom and two bedroom and so there -- but there will be different types of units and they must be in proportion to the whole development.

And the whole development is going to have a variety of unit-types as well. So, there's -- it's not going to be all the same type of unit. And, therefore, different income levels.

COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: Really, my comments were just purely based on income levels. I understand that, you know, there's not going to be differences in terms of placement of units and there

might be some differences in finishes here and there,
but I recognize that, you know, pretty much there is
supposed to be fairly transparent from seamless
from sort of the market rate unit.
MS. McCARTHY: Right. And we also we
had discussed with the developer the possibility of

had discussed with the developer the possibility of increasing the percentage of affordability or looking at different aspect of affordability, but we -- I think we also recognized this is the very first project of its kind in this neighborhood. And there's a substantial amount of expense in the historic building. So, we felt that all and all those factors balanced out and that 80 percent AMI at 15 percent of the total bonus was the right level this time.

We probably will apply a different standard to some future project.

COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: I mean, again, looking at, again, all the benefits and that this is a project that to me really speaks to the definition of a PUD, I mean, those kind of things are almost, again, forgivable. And I'm not, you know, really looking to, you know, slow down anything here for that reason.

VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: Any other questions?

COMMISSIONER HILDEBRAND: I do have a question. You mentioned the Clark Project as the east

П

2.2

bookend. What is the height of their -- looking at their --

MS. McCARTHY: That's a very different kind of project. It's closer to the more row house neighborhoods and so they are basically -- it's garden apartments and row houses.

COMMISSIONER HILDEBRAND: I was looking at the H Street study when I was going through the documents here and I noticed that the development that's being proposed here on this site is significantly different than what was recommended in the study.

Can you comment on that please?

MS. McCARTHY: We had gone back to our H Street planners and asked that same question. And they indicated to us that at the point in time in which the study was being prepared there was -- there was not a thought that the Children's Museum was going to move. And so they thought the Children's Museum would continue to be there and the likelihood of being able to do a taller apartment building wasn't going to be there because the Children's Museum would still need a substantial portion of the square. So, they recommended a lower height.

But when we went back to them and asked

2.2

them based on the conversations they had had with the community and which Ms. Ricks and Mr. Woody had spent a considerable amount of time working with people in the community about the project, they did not find this to be inconsistent with the kind of vision that had been articulated by people in the planning process.

COMMISSIONER HILDEBRAND: Okay. And just my recollection of the street is that with few exceptions is that it's a fairly low height street.

Does this change in this establishment of a 110 foot height have any potential future impact on that kind of bulk working its way eastward in the commercial section of H Street.

MS. McCARTHY: The Street plan articulates several zones and this was included in what was called the urban living zone. And the plan has some language encouraging that there be higher buildings on this western end to density, higher compliment, to be transit-oriented and to take advantage of the proximity to Union Station.

But then the vision then is for a considerably lower density and lower heights along the rest of the corridor. So, I think we will -- I think we would tell people very clearly that we would,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

especially because most of the rest of the buildings really directly -- most of the rest of H Street directly abuts low-scale row houses that are immediately -- that are on the same square. That are immediately abutting that. And that that would not be compatible to have substantially higher buildings on that.

Plus, we are, you know, it's fabulous historic stock, even though it's not an officially designated district. The historic preservation staff tried to be very clear with our neighborhood planning staff that they didn't end with when we were looking at zoning for the H Street overlay, that we didn't want to create any incentives that would cause unnecessary demolition of the buildings with the kind of superb character that a lot of the older buildings have on that stretch.

COMMISSIONER HILDEBRAND: Thank you.

VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Thank you. Thanks to the Office of Planning.

Also we mentioned other Government reports. We do have a report from the Department of Transportation, will flush out the issues, but also in support.

Any other -- any other Government

1	agencies?
2	MR. McGETTIGAN: No. We haven to received
3	reports from any other Government agencies.
4	VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you.
5	Moving right along with out agenda. We
6	want to get straight to the let me back up.
7	Ms. Prince, you are exactly correct in
8	regulation 3012.5, ANC great weight. Would you be
9	amenable to us because they are in support. I could
10	see if it was different. Would you be amenable to us
11	at the end leaving the record open and we can accept
12	that into the record, giving the ANC the opportunity
13	to come up to speed. I would think you would want
14	that?
15	MS. PRINCE: Yes. Yes.
16	VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: Good. All right.
17	Thank you.
18	Report of the Advisory Neighborhood
19	Commission 6C. The Chairperson, Mr. Dixon, is here.
20	Oh, I'm sorry. Thank you. Usually when
21	Carol is doing all this, I just sit back and go to the
22	next thing. But since I'm doing it, I need to pay
23	more attention.
24	Let me do this first.
25	Cross examination of the Office of

Planning? The ANC? Do you have any cross examination 1 2 of the Office of Planning? Okay. Stanton Park? That's it. Thank you. 3 Now, let me figure out where I was. I was 4 making a statement. It's getting late. It's been a 5 long day. 6 7 Okay. So, we're straight with the 3012.5. Greatway, we're straight with that. 8 9 The ANC, if you would come forward. 10 Report of the Advisory Neighborhood Commission 6C. 11 And me just -- one person who has been 12 designated to speak. I think Commissioner Pernell is representing another organization in his capacity. 13 14 And I now he's a commissioner, so let's hear from you 15 and then we will call the groups up in support after. 16 MR. RIVERA: My name is Anthony Rivera. This is my single member district, 6C05. And I'm also 17 the Chair of the Planning and Zoning Committee. 18 19 I only have two issues that I have with 20 the project. We are in support of the project. But I 21 think the Office of Planning addressed a little --22 addressed it a little bit, but one thing we are 23 proposing which wasn't mentioned in the presentation 24 is establishing a Community Advisory Board to oversee 25

the hiring and -- well, not oversee. Put input into

1	the hiring of people from the community and how the
2	affordable housing is going to be dolled out per se,
3	which you received a letter probably today stating
4	as a supplement.
5	The second thing is the issue of
6	affordable housing, which the Office of Planning was
7	trying to address.
8	In the District of Columbia, affordable
9	housing is a new I don't know how to say it.
10	Affordable housing is not what I used to call
11	affordable housing.
12	VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: It's starting not to
13	exist.
14	MR. RIVERA: Right.
15	VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay.
16	MR. RIVERA: We see condominiums. I'm
17	also a real estate broker. We see condominiums going
18	for \$450 a square foot. That's not affordable
19	housing. Okay.
20	And as the Office of Planning was saying,
21	if you're saying the what were you saying, the
22	median income of the person I think in the District
23	what is it? \$90,000? Somewhere around there?
24	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: For a family of
25	four, I believe. It's about that.

1	MR. RIVERA: So, that means that person
2	probably has to make somewhere between \$50 and \$60. I
3	would imagine to throw out a number on the units. I
4	know you don't have a marketing number, but imagine
5	the marking number would be somewhere between \$160,000
6	and \$200,000. And that's affordable housing?
7	Nobody that's affordable housing.
8	VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: Actually,
9	Commissioner Rivera, we want to hear your testimony.
10	MR. RIVERA: Okay. I understand.
11	VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: You want to question
12	us, but we want to hear your testimony.
13	MR. RIVERA: I understand. I'm sorry.
14	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: And also, we don't
15	know what these units are being marketed.
16	MR. RIVERA: Well, we don't now. I'm just
17	saying examples and I will get to my point.
18	I'm just giving an example.
19	Say, for example, it's \$160,000 or just a
20	number. And that's someone making \$50,000, \$60,000.
21	They are a GS-11. Or I'm just throwing that out
22	there. And they work in Goddard Space Center. That
23	person is going to need a car. Or they probably
24	already own a car at that price range.
25	And my thing is to find a way to make the

parking space affordable for people that's going into these affordable units. Because, if we're going to give them affordable unit and they make that and their back-end ration is 30, I think, the Office of Planning told us, how are they going to be able to afford that parking space?

So, my issue is that we need to work

So, my issue is that we need to work togther with the developer and the city to try to solve, and I guess the Office of Planning or DHCD or whoever is telling us, to try to solve that problem, because we can't offer affordable housing and then say, well, you can't buy the parking space.

So, that's one of the issues that I think that everybody should pay close attention to because it's almost -- I won't say it's almost discriminatory if you're saying I can buy the unit, but I can't afford -- I'm not claiming that, but I'm saying I can't afford the parking space. Because market parking spaces are somewhere around anywhere between \$25,000 and \$40,000.

So, we have to find out a way to bridge the gap if we're going to provide this affordable housing.

Other than that, the Commission, we're in full support of the project. I'm a stakeholder. I'm

1	a neighbor, very close to that project. I think it's
2	a good thing for the city, for H Street itself. It
3	will bring residents to help spawn development on H
4	Street and probably loosen a lot of people that are
5	oh, we have a lot of people on H Street holding on to
6	properties and speculating and not allowing other
7	people that want to do things in the neighborhood to
8	buy the property at a reasonable price.
9	So, I think it would spawn people to start
10	developing.
11	That is basically the testimony I have for
12	today.
13	Thank you.
14	VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you,
15	Commissioner Rivera. I think you bring up a good
16	point.
17	Any questions? No questions?
18	COMMISSIONER HILDEBRAND: Yes. Actually,
19	Commissioner Rivera.
20	VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: Hold your seat. Hold
21	your seat.
22	COMMISSIONER HILDEBRAND: Thank you so
23	much for your testimony.
24	What do you think of the developer's offer
25	for a \$40,000 trust to help offset the cost of the
	f 1

1 parking for people who need a little help to get that 2 parking space. MR. RIVERA: Well, the issue will -- it 3 will not be a little help. It will be a lot of help 4 I think it's a very good faith gesture and 5 I've talked to Mr. Abdo about that, but I think we 6 7 have to find another solution to solve the problem. 8 Because if you got a back-end ratio of 30 and not 32 9 and adds on another \$2,000 or \$3,000 a person could 10 afford. You know, because usually affordable housing, 11 they're buying at their max. So, you'd probably have to squeeze another \$2,000 or \$3,000. 12 13 Say for example, you gave the \$5,000. 14 That still doesn't make up the cost of that space. 15 And he needs to make that amount of money off the 16 space. We have to find a solution. I mean, we can't 17 just beat the developer up for the price of the parking because to go underground I'm familiar with 18 19 it. It costs a lot of money. 20 If they are above-ground parking spaces, 21 it will be a different story. So, it think there's another solution that has to be worked out to try to 22 23 subsidize the parking. 24 COMMISSIONER HILDEBRAND: Do you have a

suggestion?

Well, one of my suggestion

1

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

there used to be a program at HPAP and I'm not quite familiar on what they're doing now. But one suggestion was, they had a program called VPAP that gave a grant to help a person buy a home. And I'm thinking if we look at this and we could get some kind

when I was talking to Mr. Abdo the other day was that

MR. RIVERA:

subsidize the parking, maybe -- I don't know how many

high-bred financing through the city to help

funds are out there, but I know that there was -- at a time I sold three houses on VPAP. But then the houses

only cost \$90,000. So, \$10,000 made a big difference

or \$15,000 was a big difference in the down payment

and closing costs.

So, I mean, we're talking about a gap of about maybe \$20,000 to \$30,000 just to throw a number out there of where we need to try to find to solve the problem. I mean, it will be a great model because since affordable housing is going to be \$200,000 here in the District, it would be a great model to try to put a financing -- a high-bred financing tool in place.

I don't know who can put it together.

Maybe it can come through some of the banking institutions in the city or the city itself. But, we

	need to address on trying to lind out or turn the
2	financing to solve the problem. Because this will
3	come up again.
4	COMMISSIONER HILDEBRAND: Do you think
5	that every affordable hosing unit need access to
6	parking? Do you think there's a ratio there?
7	MR. RIVERA: I'm thinking that they should
8	everybody may not take advantage of it, but it
9	should be available. I shouldn't be priced out that I
10	can't afford it, because, you know, now I have to park
11	my car on the street, you know. And, I mean, start
12	development I understand a lot of people say, well,
13	people don't need cars, but you need to have the
14	choice to have one or not, you now. Even if it's a
15	beat up Hyundai or whatever. You need to have a
16	choice to be able to drive if you want to.
17	COMMISSIONER HILDEBRAND: Some way to pick
18	up groceries.
19	MR. RIVERA: Yes.
20	COMMISSIONER HILDEBRAND: Thank you.
21	VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Thank you.
22	Thank you, Commissioner.
23	We're going to move right along because we
24	want to make sure that we get to the residents in a
25	reasonable hour.
	1

1	I'm going to ask Stanton Park. Oh, I'm
2	sorry.
3	Cross examination? Trying to move.
4	Applicant, do you have any cross
5	examination? No. Okay.
6	I'm going to ask Stanton Park if they
7	would come and present their case. Mr. Edwards and
8	Mr. Tallant.
9	Excuse me. How much time are you going to
10	need?
11	MR. TALLANT: Approximately five minutes
12	each.
13	VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: Great.
14	VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: I was going to ask
15	you if you could do it in 10 minutes. Thank you.
16	MR. EDWARDS: We have distributed on
17	Monday copies of our statement, both to the Commission
18	and to the Applicant. I do have additional copies for
19	the audience I'll pass behind me now.
20	There are some photographs in there that
21	may be of interest.
22	My name is Monty Edwards. And together
23	with Mr. Tallant, we serve as co-chairs of the Land-
24	Use Committee of the Stanton Park Neighborhood
25	Association.

Park Neighborhood Association 1 Stanton 2 represents the citizens that live in the area bounded by E. Capitol Street, 10th Street, NE, H Street, NE 3 and Second Street, NE. 4 Our testimony today is in support of the 5 application. Ι will presenting specific 6 be 7 reservations concerning the manner in which the former 8 chapel and its entrance will be restored and utilized. 9 The original structures on this site were 10 constructed in 1873 as the Convent for the Little 11 Sisters of the Poor and St. Joseph's Home for the 12 Aged. The building that faced H Street consisted 13 14 of three wings that projected out to H Street and 15 thereby defined two courtyards. They were open to H 16 Street. 17 The main entrance at 220 H Street was 18 located in the courtyard between the two eastern most 19 And if you'll look at attached photo 1, is a 20 photograph circa 1939 of that entrance. 21 Unfortunately, the main entrance below the --22 Now, let's go to attached photo 2 first. This shows the H Street facade in which 23 24 you can see the two projecting -- three projecting wings and the two inner courtyards. The entrance to 25

1 the chapel was below the cross, which unfortunately in 2 the photograph is obscured by trees so you can't see this ornate entrance. 3 From the entrance one would enter into a 4 vestibule with a formal entrance to the chapel 5 straight ahead and then you could go left or right 6 7 into the facility. 8 A photograph of that chapel 9 Here you can see the windows facing H Number 3. 10 on the right-hand side. Street are The chapel 11 entrance, which is a large formal entrance, about two stories high, is on the left of the photograph. 12 Now, if you'll turn back to Photo 2 for 13 14 just a moment. You can see a horizontal line, which 15 is a shed roof between the fourth and third stories. 16 That defined the vestibule. Unfortunately, when they put the curtain wall across and made the facade flush 17 with H Street, they tore off that additional so the 18 19 vestibule and the original entrance are now gone. 20 This 1960s construction is what resulted 21 in the present facade that's flush with H Street. And, of course, the loss of the H Street entrance. 2.2 23 Now, the developers proposed to remove

those courtyard additions and thereby restore the H

Street facade to basically its original configuration.

24

But that will mean without this protection of the vestibule apparently.

In the view of SPNA, the Applicant does not propose to provide an H Street entrance from this restored eastern courtyard that formerly was the main entrance to the facility. They do propose an H Street entrance further up on the bridge to this historic structure.

We, San Park strongly supports the Applicant's statement that one of the great challenges of this project was to refocus the attention and the connection of this building and the entire square to H Street. That's from the application, page 5 I'm quoting.

Stanton park recommends the restoration of the chapel entrance as a functional entrance to H Street to, in fact, provide a significant architectural and practical feature that would refocus attention and the connection of this building to H Street.

There's also significant architectural richness to the chapel interior, which in some areas has been covered by the Children's Museum. It was known as the Mexican Room in the Children's Museum. But most of it is basically intact and largely

consistent with the 1939 view shown in Photo 4.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Of interest in this photo the priest that is presiding is Monsignor Fulton Sheen who later became Bishop and Archbishop Fulton Sheen.

During the operation of the Children's Museum, this room was used for community and public meetings including San Park's Annual Dinners. chapel interior is a space well known and loved by the community. Stanton Park has suggested that the chapel interior be restored and the possibility of some form of public use be pursued, rather than converting it to private residential use, which would mean that the public would no longer have access to the architectural richness.

In preparing for this hearing, I met with the Little Sisters of the Poor. They are now located up on Harwood Street and described Stanton Park's interest in maintaining public access to the chapel. Their response was, how about a church?

Other options that would permit public access might be a restaurant. But Stanton Park understands that the developer would prefer no commercial use on this project.

A last and minimal solution would be to use a part of the chapel appropriately restored as the

vestibule for the restored entrance on H Street. 1 2 Under Section 2403.9D of the zoning regulations, historic preservation and restoration are 3 considered public benefits 4 to be and project The principal project amenity that is 5 amenities. proposed is stated at page 12 of the application. 6 The 7 Applicant will be able to rehabilitate and restore the 8 historically significant portion of the building. 9 The adaptive reuse of the structure for 10 residential purpose is a significant project amenity. 11 Note that in this quotation I've just 12 read, the Applicant does not claim this to be a public benefit. Stanton Park urges restoration of the chapel 13 14 entrance and at least a portion of the chapel interior 15 in a manner that will permit some degree of public 16 access to provide a significant public benefit. 17 Thank you. 18 VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you. Proceed. 19 MR. TALLANT: Hi. I'll try to be as quick 20 as I can. 21 Ι to start by thanking Abdo want 2.2 bringing project Development for this mУ 23 I live about 150 feet south of this neighborhood. 24 And I'm just pleased as I can be over all project.

having done this project in my neighborhood.

We're very much in support of this project and certainly want to see it go forward as rapidly as possible.

The issues that we have brought to Abdo over the course of the development, things about the height of the building at Third and I, they were very responsive to us. I think that the solution they've come up satisfies our concerns in that regard.

So, by and large, the only issue that we with the have outstanding has to do exterior restoration of the original structure. And there it's of a difficult question because this kind building that was built not all at once, but over a period of time. So, the question comes in restore it Do we restore it to 1870? Do we restore it To 1930? You know, just what is the period to 1900? of significance? What are we going to try to bring it back to?

Mr. Abdo has repeatedly stressed to me that as they do the demolition, that they will be refining their restoration proposal. And I have a lot of faith that he will, in fact, do that.

But on the issue of an entrance where the chapel entrance was, we haven't come to an agreement there of whether or not that is something they will

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

pursue and do want to hear from them on that and how we're going to resolve that issue.

The other issues that we have are sort of the normal things you would expect for a building of this scale. Truck transportation for construction, where are the workers going to park, you know, what is the staging area for a project that's going to be built allat once that is going to consume the entire square all at one time. And there's no place to put stuff when you dig this big hole that takes up the whole square.

So, how are we going to bring this project int our neighborhood in a way that doesn't make our lives miserable for the year and a half, two years? What is that construction period? You know, it's going to be a sizeable chunk of time.

I do believe that we will continue to have a very good working relationship with Abdo and that we will resolve these things as we go along.

What I do suggest, what San Park does suggest is a architectural historian be engaged to determine the appropriateness of the renovation ov the exterior of the historic structure in terms of determining what is the most important period of significance? Whether the additions that Abdo is

2.2

proposing, such as the balconies, on H Street. 1 have balconies on the facade. 2 We found no evidence that those balconies 3 ever existing historically. They might be a good 4 idea, but because this project is not going through an 5 historic preservation review, but its claim is 6 7 historic preservation as its primary amenity, you 8 don't have the oversight 9 preservation that we would weren't we going through 10 that process. 11 So, we have to look in the PUD process to 12 implement historic controls. So, we're looking for you all to do that. 13 The other thing that we would want to echo 14 is, I believe, the ANC mentioned that they would like 15 16 to have sort of a community meeting, regularly monthly meeting with Abdo to review any problems. You know, I 17 think it's a fairly normal procedure on a project of 18 19 this size. And they've indicated that that would be 20 acceptable. 21 That's it. I hope that we'll move forward 22 with project as rapidly as possible. 23 Thank you. 24 VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you. Thank you 25 both for your comment.

1	Colleagues, any questions?
2	COMMISSIONER HILDEBRAND: I'm curious.
3	The building is not designated a landmark.
4	Is that correct?
5	MR. TALLANT: That is correct.
6	COMMISSIONER HILDEBRAND: And there's no
7	process underway to get it designated a landmark?
8	MR. TALLANT: There is no landmark pending
9	and it's unlikely that there would be.
10	I think that Mr. Abdo has been very
11	knowledgeable of the land-marking process and has
12	designed a project that is largely responsive to that
13	potential.
14	So, I think that but for the kind of
15	project they brought to the community, there would
16	have been an effort to landmark all or portion of this
17	building. But it's because we're already getting so
18	much of what we would have wanted through a land-
19	marking process, there was no need to landmark it.
20	COMMISSIONER HILDEBRAND: What is the
21	reaction to the balconies that face H Street? I'm
22	assuming the ones that face the courtyard aren't an
23	issue because they're not visible from the public
24	right-a-way?
25	MR. TALLANT: That's correct.

1	And it's not so much an issue whether or
2	not the balconies are advisable. It's more a matter
3	of, if we're stating that we're going to be restoring
4	the original architectural fabric, then are we doing
5	that or are we not doing that? And where are we doing
6	it and where are we not doing it?
7	And where do we make the decision of what
8	is an appropriate non-historical additional and what
9	is an appropriate historical fabric that is not going
10	to be restored such as the chapel entrance. Those
11	kinds of issues.
12	COMMISSIONER HILDEBRAND: So, you haven't
13	reached a resolution on that with your discussion with
14	the Applicant?
15	MR. TALLANT: The research that we've done
16	is not conclusive and I think it's partly because the
17	building was built over a period of time. So, it
18	becomes a question of what date do you look at?
19	COMMISSIONER HILDEBRAND: So, I'm assuming
20	that things that are windows now are becoming doors?
21	Is that one of the things you're suggesting with the
22	balconies?
23	MR. TALLANT: Yes. One of the other
24	speakers here tonight is probably far more
25	knowledgeable of historic structures than I am. And

1	one of the things that he has told me and that's Pat
2	Lolly, is that these buildings were not one-off
3	buildings. That when the Catholic Diocese built these
4	types of buildings, they essentially went to their
5	pattern book and they picked, you know, floor plan
6	building 1B and that's what they built. Maybe they
7	didn't build it all at once, but they went to sort of
8	a Catholic architecture pattern book and did that.
9	So, it's not that we're dealing with a
10	building that was unique in its day. It's one that is
11	representative of a type of architecture that was
12	built in the late 1800s. So, I think there are
13	examples, even if we can't document exactly what this
14	particular building looked like, on a particular date,
15	that there are other examples that we can look to to
16	sort of document what would have been an appropriate
17	architectural statement.
18	COMMISSIONER HILDEBRAND: Thank you.
19	VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: Any other questions,
20	colleagues?
21	Okay. Moving right along.
22	Does the Applicant have any cross
23	examination or do you just hold your seat?
24	Does the ANC have any cross examination?

MR. RIVERA: Anthony Rivera.

2	that I want to know their actual boundaries on the
3	organization. Where do they go, the boundaries?
4	MR. TALLANT: Our northern boundary would
5	be H Street. So, this building is directly across H
6	Street from our northern boundary.
7	MR. RIVERA: To my knowledge, near
8	northeast goes all the way to G Street. A Street,
9	excuse me. And the reason why I bring this issue up
10	is that north of H Street has been like a no man's
11	zone. Okay. And anytime we have I live north of H
12	Street. Anytime we have any problems, I don't hear
L3	from anybody and then al of a sudden we have a
14	development, we have BP try to put up a gas station.
15	Now we got the Children's Museum. And then I see
16	someone come in
17	VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: Are you making a
18	point? This is the time where you're getting to your
19	question.
20	I'm allowing you a little flexibility
21	until you get to your question.
22	MR. RIVERA: Okay.
23	VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: We don't want to get
24	into a turf issue here.
25	MR. RIVERA: I understand. All I'm asking

The question is have for Stanton Park is

1 is why do they have a big concern about, and it's not 2 an historic project? MR. TALLANT: With all due respect, I have 3 been fairly active in near northeast for the last 4 several years. And the specific issue of BT, I led 5 the project on that for a number of years. 6 So, to say 7 that Stanton Park doesn't care about what happens. 8 This isn't a discussion we should be having here. 9 But, to say that Stanton Park does not care about what 10 happens on H Street and north of H Street is a little 11 disingenuous. 12 VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: Has your question 13 been answered? Thank you. 14 MR. RIVERA: No. The question was asking 15 is, I mean, why are they bringing up the issue of 16 historic when it's not historic? That was the 17 question I asked. 18 VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. 19 I didn't ask - -I mean, the MR. RIVERA: 20 fence I can understand. But I mean I been there and 21 I've seen what's happening. 22 Well, the developer MR. TALLANT: claiming the restoration of the historic structure as 23 a principal project amenity. So, it think that that's 24 25 a very valid topic for discussion.

1 I mean, that is one of the things they 2 have put front and center as their amenity. VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: And at the proper 3 time when we discuss it, the Commission will consider 4 We will deal with that. And we've heard 5 that. everyone loud and clear. Okay. 6 7 Thank you. 8 Let's see if we can move a little faster 9 here. 10 What I want to do. I have a list in front 11 I'm going to ask for the organizations in 12 support of it first. It seems as though in perusing the list, every organization has two people to come 13 14 So, I'm going to call the organization and one 15 person will get five minutes. You don't have to use 16 it all. And one person will get three minutes. don't have to use all that either. 17 18 And let me just ask. H Street -- it says 19 H Street Main Street. They are the two representative 20 who can come first. 21 While they're on their way, I'm going to ask Potomac Development Corporation if they can come 2.2 also. 23 They have two representatives. If you only 24 want one, that will be fine too. However you want to 25 work it. But I don't want to disenfranchise anyone.

1	Everybody is going to have an opportunity. So, I just
2	said that just in case one person can cover your
3	comments.
4	And also, where's Potomac Development
5	Corporation? Are they at the table? They left?
6	Sorry. Okay. Well, we have their submittal.
7	Let me ask Commissioner let me ask
8	Commissioner Pernell to come forward. And I forgot
9	which organization he's representing. I know it's an
10	organization.
11	And I'm going to ask that the National
12	Children's Museum stand ready and also Local
13	Initiative Support corporation stand ready.
14	Okay. If you start H Street.
15	MR. DYSON: Yes.
16	VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: Main Street.
17	MR. DYSON: My name is Derek Dyson.
18	I'm the secretary for H Street/Main
19	Street. I'm going to be speaking on behalf of H
20	Street/Main Street and I won't take five minutes.
21	I've provided the Commission with a copy
22	of our statement and I'm not going to repeat that
23	because I think that it can be applied to the record
24	without having to go and having to re-read that.
25	I did want to point out a couple of

significant points with respect to H Street/Main Street's relationship with Abdo. Abdo has pointed out up front that they have offered to make a contribution to H Street/Main Street after they receive their building permit. And H Street/Main Street appreciate that.

They did that without us asking for it and they did that because, again, they recognized the important of H Street/Main Street.

One other aspect of this is we, as H Street/Main Street came in as pointed out in the chart, kind of later in the process with some of the other groups they had met with but I will say this that the plan that they are proposed does fit within the western corridor for the H Street development plan. So, street development plan.

So, it was impressive to us when they came to us that they kept that in mind and they recognized the significance of just having residential units, no retail in this building to assist the retail businesses along the H Street corridor. And we are very impressed with that and we are very impressed with the project. And we support it as a gateway to all of H Street because it does -- it is verv important to have this particular project as

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	gateway to develop that transition as Mr. Jeffries had
2	mentioned.
3	So, I'm going to stop there and see if
4	anybody had any questions, but I would just rely again
5	on the statement that we have provided to you.
6	VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Thank you.
7	We're going to ask our questions at the
8	end if you can just hold your seat.
9	Next.
10	MR. DANAHER: My name is Brendan Danaher.
11	I'm on the Board of H Street/Main Street as well.
12	Derek covered pretty much everything and
13	in light of the time, I'll be very quick.
14	We support the Abdo project because, you
15	know, we support first the preservation based
16	approach. We commend his enhancements to the street
17	scape. We support the density and the height of the
18	project. We support the affordable housing aspect and
19	we support the residential nature of the project when
20	it could have been commercial.
21	And we are also deeply supportive of the
22	fact that the project is in alignment with both the
23	DDOT plan and the OP plan that the residents and the
24	District government put in thousands of hours on.
25	Thank you.

1 VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you. 2 Let me just interrupt. Mr. Salim, did you want to hear what -- okay, good. I want to make sure 3 and give everybody 4 we're fair the opportunity. Because I appreciate everyone sitting here and being 5 6 patient. 7 Commissioner Pernell. 8 MR. PERNELL: Good evening. 9 My name is Commissioner Daniel Pernell and 10 I am a member of the Near Northeast Citizens Against 11 Crime and Drugs. are from the north 12 Our boundaries Florida Avenue to south of A Street and from the west 13 14 up North Capitol to east of 15th and Bladensburg Road 15 and we have been since 1985 and we are in the D.C. 16 Register of boundaries. 17 I am here on behalf of Ms. Loree Murray. That's L-O-R-E-E M-U-R-R-A-Y, who is the founder and 18 19 president and we will be celebrating our 20th 20 anniversary April 3rd, 2005. 21 Our civil group was based on mostly crime We are the only civic group that has that 2.2 prevention. 23 registration and we've been dealing with the crimes in the area, if you remember Ratha Edmond and a number of 24 25 hard-core criminals that we helped along with the U.S.

attorney to get rid of in our community.

2.2

I'm here to say that Near Northeast supports the Abdo 0422 project PUD at the Capital Children's Museum and Ms. Murray bases this on the contingency that Abdo Development keep their promise. And their promise is to include the square footage of set-aside housing for low income on affordable housing for a minimum of 20,000 square feet to at least 15 percent of that development resident square foot for the entire site.

Housing must insure they are affordable over a period of time to be reviewed and affirmed by the ANC, which is the ANC Single Member District, Mr. Anthony Rivera, who will be setting up an affirmative action committee outreach, which would include a member from Stanton Park, a couple members from the Housing Development Corporation and also from Abdo who will have members sitting on it and also residents from the area.

The areas that we spoke of talking about the traffic on Second Street. Second Street, we have had the same plan we did with Station Place and we would also provide that information to that advisory panel that we will keep that same direction of that traffic because I sit right on Second Street. I'm on

Third and I'm a half a block away. And so I'm very concerned about the traffic on that area.

There are a number of things, and we also

-- we need to support a community development

corporation such as the H Street CDC to be a part of

this, because they are the only ones in the area that

has the experience with affordable housing.

These individuals who are sitting here representing H Street/Main Street. I've been on H Street/Main Street for 35 years and I have not seen these people. These people have not been inclusive to They have not made any presentation as of the ANC. now, since they've been established to the ANC or by the H Street Merchants Association. And this is one great concern that the Near Northeast citizens had in the area that these people are not being inclusive to everyone in the Near -- we never know when they're having a meeting. Most meetings they have has been in the dark and not to the public. There's no e-mail of their meetings to the ANC or the Single Member or the civic lady in the area, Ms. Lorrie Murray.

So, these are the great concerns that we have in the area. But, we're willing to work together and move along and support Mr. Jim Abdo, who has been very friendly to the community, who has answered all

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

our questions, both privately and publicly before the ANC and the civic group in the area.

And so I move to say that we are satisfied with the parking arrangement there. We are not satisfied with the cars that they're going to have as business there. The ZipCars, I believe they are, because we don't want that area to be overflow with commercial. Now, if they're using the Second Street for that entrance of those cars, we're satisfied with that, which they can take Second Street, since it's a narrow street when it gets to L, which is where I'm between L and M. It becomes very narrow. It's one And they cannot make a left there and go lane. underneath the bridge where the near subway station, because they may hit the top of the bridge. So, they would have to make a right and go out M Street to Florida.

They can take a left on Third to Florida and then hit New York one block away. Or they can take the route of straight out east on M Street and go narrow right into Florida Avenue and go out to Bladensburg Road.

So, we are in support of that and I move to say that we are in support of Mr. Abdo and I appreciate him coming to us.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	The last concern that we have is that we
2	would like to know, Ms. Murray personally, because a
3	couple of members of her family had to move out of the
4	area because of the developers on H Street had
5	pressured them out because they are looking for the
6	high bidder for their property. And they are looking
7	for affordable housing. They've been residents Ms.
8	Murray as had about 75 years in the community and her
9	family members have about 35, about the same time I've
10	been here. And they looking for affordable housing.
11	We hope that this comes out of the Capital
12	Children's Museum, because that's not the only area.
13	We have 301 L Street. Mr. Abdo has been meeting with
14	us and been very positive with us and we've been very
15	satisfied. And also 301, which is owned by Adrian
16	Washington, who is supposed to be coming to us
17	concerning his development there.
18	So and also the Jamal Brothers at M and
19	Third Street concerning the Oralina Arena which we
20	worked on that since 1982.
21	So, we've had some great progress and we
22	will be announcing those at our April 3rd meeting of
23	plus the New York/Florida Avenue subway.
24	Thank you.

VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Thank you.

	I would ask my colleagues. Thank you all
2	for your testimony.
3	Do you have any questions for this panel?
4	Okay. Thank you.
5	Do anybody I'm going to do it like this
6	to save time. It's getting late and I'm getting all
7	these mixed signals from left to right and up top and
8	I appreciate it.
9	Anybody have any cross for that panel?
10	Ms. Prince? The ANC? Stanton park?
11	Thank you. And that's just going to be
12	the norm from now on. Okay. But I will call for it.
13	Thank you.
14	I'm going to ask National Children's
15	Museum and also former deputy mayor, Eric Price.
16	Once the deputy mayor, always the deputy
17	mayor, who is representing our list.
18	MS. SOUTHERN: Good evening. My name is
19	Kathy Dwyer Southern. I'm the president and CEO of
20	the National Children's Museum. And I'm joined this
21	evening by Ross Hechinger, who is the Chairman of the
22	Board. We are here to testify in support of the Abdo
23	Development Plan.
24	As Councilwoman Ambrose mentioned earlier
25	this evening, as one of our Board members, the Capital

Children's Museum has been at this property for over 1 2 30 days. And we sold the property to Jim last year as we identified a new home in L'Enfant Plaza. 3 Throughout our 30 years of history, we've 4 served over 150,000 kids year in and year out, 5 children of families who have visited the museum. 6 7 Washington families, many Washington 8 families have grown up with the museum and there are 9 wonderful experiences and memories very many 10 associated with this site. 11 We were an active member of the H Street 12 community as well, hosting community meeting, actively participating in the development of the H Street plan 13 and being a special place for the kids 14 15 community. 16 We care deeply about the museum. Our school is in the surrounding property and did our best 17 to maintain and support it while our visitors were 18 19 having a great museum experience. 20 I'm speaking tonight in support of the 21 Abdo plan because we know this developer shares our 2.2 perspective, our values and our respect for this 23 property. We sold the property to Jim Abdo for this 24 very same set of reasons. 25 This is a neighborhood we've cared about

and worked hard in for over 30 years. And we know that Jim will carry on this tradition of deep and full community involvement, which certainly has been demonstrated this evening and a real respect for the neighborhood and the neighbors.

In our experience, Jim is a man who truly listens, truly really works with the community and keeps his word. He has been a true partner with us and will keep what is the best for the area always in focus.

He also shares with us a well-documented sensitivity for historic structure and areas. The site houses several truly beautiful buildings, beautiful and significant structures, which we have always loved and honored.

We know that Jim will continue this respect for the property and the plan that you have before you, we feel is an excellent demonstration of his concern in this historic area.

At closing with Jim last year, I know the museum board and staff felt that this would be, and Sharon Ambrose mentioned this this evening, a win-win-win for us all. A win for the neighborhood because we have brought in an excellent and sensitive developer who was already well aligned with the thinking of the

2.2

1 area and I want to return to the H Street plan as an 2 important demonstration in that area. A win for the museum because the place we 3 have honored and called home for over 30 years with 4 thousands of kids from this community as a part of 5 that experience, will be thoughtfully and sensitively 6 7 developed and brought to life new and we think very 8 exciting in importance ways. 9 And finally a win for the city as the site 10 provides new housing opportunities and helps launch 11 the redevelopment of the H Street corridor, which we participated actively in that development of the plan. 12 We fully and wholeheartedly support the 13 14 Abdo plan and I'd now like to turn to Ross Hechinger 15 for additional comments. 16 MR. HECHINGER: I will be brief and I just 17 wanted to express my gratitude to the Commission for hearing our testimony today. 18 19 I've been involved with the National 20 Children's Museum, formerly the Capital Children's 21 for 20 years and have been a lifetime Washingtonian and I share the vision that Jim has in 22 terms of the dedication to the city. 23 24 We chose Abdo Development as the purchaser 25 of the property on H Street because we believe that he

and his company would develop a plan that would work best for the neighborhood and the community and, of course, our former home as a children's museum. And I'd just like to say, the National Children's Museum is the co-applicant in this process and we have been working closely together with Abdo Development to see this application successfully through the zoning approval process.

And I'd just like to emphasize the timing

And I'd just like to emphasize the timing is very important on this spectacular project which we've all seen today. And I want to strongly urge the Commission to approve this application as quickly as possible.

Thank you very much.

MR. PRICE: Good evening. My name is Eric Price. I thank you for allowing me to testify today.

I am the Senior Vice President for Neighborhood markets for the Local Initiatives Support Corporation. I'm here tonight to provide our support for this application.

organization in the nation. We provide about \$700 million a year in the projects, with 38 offices throughout the country. And we work primarily with CDCs and nonprofits to build neighborhood. And so

we're very comfortable supporting this application, because we think it's both consistent with the neighborhood and that the project itself is going to be a catalyst for further economic development.

I also come tonight. I was a deputy mayor in Washington, D.C., for economic development for the last five years up until December of last year and was involved in come of planning activities that actually have occurred along that corridor. And I want to just offer a few comments to some of the comments that I've heard here tonight very quickly.

First of all, Commissioner Jeffries brought up the issue of affordable housing and looking at different income levels in the projects that he had been involved with.

I was involved with those same projects. And I don't know one of them that didn't have some form of subsidy, either the land write-down, taxes and bond financing, income tax credit, CDBG or something wasn't involved to bring the income level to the various levels that he's talking about.

Also in the projects that he was talking about, there was a competition. In order to win the competition you had to come in and say, I'm going to make "X" affordability to when some developers did it.

In this case we find with the Abdo project there is no competition. And I don't know of one case of any project I've seen where a developer has gone out and paid market rate for the land and then worked on the issue of affordability with the community. I don't know one case.

And, in fact, in the five years of being mayor Ι approved about 120 residential I don't recall one of those projects that projects. were market-rate driven where the developer came in on their own and basically said -- and worked with the said, community and I'm going to provide an affordability component to it.

And let's just talk for a minute and I'll e very quickly about that affordability component.

I hear people, they kind of say, \$60,000, \$70,000 that's a lot of money. We're talking about a family of four. We're talking about two people making \$30,000. \$35,000. We're talking about the school teacher. We're talking about the office worker. We're not talking about one person making \$70,000 when we talk about this median income. It's for a family of four when we're talking about that. And I think we should keep that in mind.

The city provides subsidies for housing

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

production trust funds, CBDG, home, TIFF. They all go to very, very low income -- for the most part, very, very low income. May not be enough and I'm not going to argue that, whether or not we put enough into that, but that's where those resources go.

Where you don't see the subsidy is for the working family in this city. And that's where there is a real gap. And so I'm very pleased to support a project like this where the private sector, the private developer has come in and done -- we have done, I think, at last in the time I was, we didn't do enough of, but he came in without the competition, without the write-down, without the subsidy and did it anyway.

Finally, and I'll conclude on this. I could go on a little bit more, but I would like to conclude that in my position as deputy mayor, I was often asked by developers to come down to this committee, to call you, to badger you. I beat up Ellen a few times, for their particular project. None of you have ever seen me down here to do any of that. It was not (a) appropriate for me to do that. And I also never wanted to get into having to choose which project we would support or not support or anything like that. You're an independent entity.

	152
1	If I would have ever chosen a project to
2	do that, it would have been a project like this. It
3	would have been a project that I think on its merits
4	just alone, stand alone, make it something that we
5	want to do in this city. I would have done it because
6	I think it's going to be a catalyst for the other
7	development along that corridor.
8	We are putting all kind of private sector
9	resources into that corridor. We're doing home again,
10	vacant and abandoned properties. We're doing Restore
11	D.C. My office actually started that program and
12	focus particularly on H Street to make it happen. But
13	you have to have that private sector partner.
14	And I just really want to come back to
15	some point that I think Council Member Ambrose made
16	and it's about the developer himself, about his
17	integrity, his credibility, his willingness to carry
18	through on this and make this happen. And I think you
19	have that private sector partner. We have a great
20	opportunity here to really jump start the development
21	on the corridor.
22	And, again, I just tonight wanted to urge
23	you to support his project.

VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: All right.

Thank you.

24

25

Thank

1	you.
2	If you all could hold your seat.
3	First, do we have any questions?
4	COMMISSIONER HILDEBRAND: Yes. Actually I
5	do.
6	I'm trying to understand this.
7	The Children's Museum has sold the
8	property to Abdo. Why are you a co-applicant on this
9	PUD?
10	MR. HECHINGER: As part of the purchase
11	agreement, there is an opportunity for there to be
12	additional support for the National Children's Museum
13	that is going into L'Enfant Plaza. The purchase price
14	of the museum property, the total proceeds of that are
15	going back into D.C. and to the National Children's
16	Museum on L'Enfant Plaza. So, we want to support any
17	ability for there to be improvements to this property
18	for that purpose.
19	COMMISSIONER HILDEBRAND: Thank you.
20	VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: Any other questions?
21	Okay. Cross examination? Ms. Prince?
22	ANC? Stanton Park? Okay. All right. Thank you.
23	Thank you all. Thank you for your
24	testimony.
25	Okav. Sallv Donner. And if I'm

	mispronouncing it, lorgive me. sarry bonner: in
2	Street CDC.
3	Can you turn your microphone?
4	MR. PERNELL: On behalf of Ms. Sally
5	Donner, she concurred that the H Street CDC should be
6	a part of this PUD in the sense that they have
7	experience in affordable housing in the area of Near
8	Northeast.
9	VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Thank you.
10	I believe Skip Coburn was with Council
11	Member Ambrose. Okay.
12	Moving right along.
13	I have two more groups. Cheryl Cort of
14	WRN with two question marks. I'm not sure what that
15	means. So, she can explain.
16	MS. SCHELLIN: I wasn't sure whether she
17	what the organization was that she was
18	representing?
19	VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. And also Mr.
20	David Burnhart from Luna Laundromat. I think we
21	qualified as an organization.
22	Mr. Burnhart had to leave. Okay.
23	So, Ms. Cort, then we'll go straight to
24	the residents.
25	MS. CORT: Thank you. My name is Cheryl

I am the Executive Director of the Washington 1 2 Regional Network for Liveable Communities. Washington based, nonprofit 3 а are organization promoting the regional vision of 4 network of diverse walkable communities linked by 5 transit, graced by parks and surrounded by farms and 6 7 forests with the District of Columbia as the hub. 8 Through education outreach and policy 9 advocacy, WRN promotes transportation and investment 10 policies and neighborhood designs that land-use 11 enhance existing communities protect the and 12 environment. particularly 13 We're concerned that 14 redevelopment in urban communities is inclusive, 15 promoting diverse housing choices so that people of 16 all incomes can live in convenient, walkable neighborhoods near transit and jobs. 17 Given our commitment to quality transit 18 19 oriented development, WRN supports the proposed 20 project at the Capital Children's Museum site. 21 In fact, this project is an exemplary 22 redevelopment project in many ways. We believe that 23 this project will contribute greatly to the quality of 24 life for both the surrounding community and for the

region.

of

11 12

8

9

10

13 14

15

16 17 18

19

20

21

23

24

25

We concur with the support that we've heard about from the D.C. Office of Planning and many community members and groups. This project is a substantial contribution to transit accessible housing opportunities in the neighborhood, city and region. It's attractive urban design, pedestrian scale and street orientation contributes greatly to the creation of successful walkable neighborhoods, close to Metro Rail and other transit services.

New residents in street oriented buildings will bring greater safety to the area by providing more eyes on the street and by supplying more walk-in customers to near-by stores and transit services in the area.

By providing a substantial number of new homes close to a major job center, the project reduces regional vehicle miles traveled, air pollution and The close proximity of homes, traffic congestion. jobs retail along with the street and scape improvements of pedestrian and bicycle connections not only create a safer and more inviting pedestrian environment, they also support the region's transit byte by generating of peak transit trips and reverse commute trips.

Regarding the affordable housing element,

while we anxiously await the Zoning Commission to take up the proposed zoning text amendment to create a mandatory inclusionary zoning policy for the city, we appreciate the developer's willingness to voluntarily offer a sizeable amount of the low-market rate housing as a part of this project, although we would like to see the income target lowered at about 60 percent of the area median income.

Regarding parking, we would ask that a reduction to the proposed over one-to-one parking ration be encouraged. This prime location, accessible to job, transit, stores and services greatly reduces the need to own a car. Indeed, this census track -- in this census track, 26 percent of owners and 50 percent of renter households do not own a car.

Instead of offering parking far above zoning regulations and above current car ownership rates in this area, we ask that alternatives to car ownership be subsidized rather than potentially subsidizing parking. We suggest reducing the parking ratio closer to zoning code or current car ownership rates and use some of the savings from this to subsidize ZipCar and FlexCar, transit passes and lower prices for the affordable housing units. .

Parking costs are tremendous and

2.2

underground parking spaces cost \$20,000 or more. I would just like to say that in terms of the suggestion of an affordable parking fund, I believe, I would suggest that we have an affordable transportation fund, since so many people can save a tremendous amount of money on travel costs by not owning a car and relying on all of the transit opportunities and walking opportunities in this neighborhood. That money can be put into home ownership, rather into a car ownership and the cost of car storage.

This site is highly suited to offer this kind of travel cost savings from reduced parking. And at a minimum we ask that all of the parking be sold separately from housing, so that parking costs are not being subsidized by those who are not buying a parking space.

Car-less households will be attracted to this convenient location because they can save the cost of owning and storing a car and put that money into a home.

We would just like to conclude saying that, you know, representing the smart growth environmental activists who are promoting smart growth, this is an important project for creating walkable, transient oriented neighborhoods. And as

1	our region grows, we need to direct more growth to
2	create lively, active, urban areas while protecting
3	the rural reaches of our region. And that this
4	proposal substantially contributes to that effort.
5	Thanks for the opportunity to testify.
6	I've also actually clipped onto my
7	testimony a brief paper we did called Neighborhood
8	parking Solutions, How to Manage Parking to Create
9	Better Communities, Affordable Housing and Greater
10	Access.
11	Thank you.
12	VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Thank you, Ms.
13	Cort.
14	Colleagues, any questions?
15	Cross examination, Ms. Prince? ANC?
16	Stanton Park?
17	Thank you. Thank you for your testimony.
18	I believe I have all the organizations
19	that support. Did I admit any organizations in
20	support?
21	Seeing none.
22	Residents in support? Well, persons in
23	support. I'm going to try to get four at the table.
24	Todd Davis, Richard Layman, Alan Kimber and Robert
25	Davis.
Į.	l i

1	And I'm going to ask the last person I
2	have before I call for persons in the last person
3	is Ms. Patrick Lolly. Oh, Patrick Lolly to be ready.
4	Okay. Excuse me for saying Ms. I'm
5	sorry.
6	Mr. Davis, you may begin. Turn your mike
7	on and identify yourself and begin your testimony.
8	MR. DAVIS: My name is Todd Davis.
9	I live at 730 Fourth Street, which is
10	right near the corner of Fourth and H Street, NE.
11	First, I'd like to thank you for giving me
12	the opportunity to speak this evening. I want to ask
13	thank the city for their dedication to the
14	revitalization of H Street.
15	I think the best way that we as a city
16	will revitalize H Street is by merging Government
17	resources with private investment. That's why I'm
18	before you this evening. I'd like to ask that you
19	support the investment and development of the
20	Children's Museum site by the Abdo Corporation.
21	From what I've seen, the Abdo Corporation
22	represents the kind of responsible development we
23	desperately need in our area of the city.
24	In attending the meetings by the Planning
25	Commission, the constant back drop of those meetings

was encouraging that the western gateway from North Capitol to Seventh Street, NE, be reserved as mainly residential development. This is consistent with their suggestion for that area.

I would also like to point out that during this whole process, the Abdo Development Corporation made a concerted effort to reach out to the community. I mean granted Mr. Abdo did not knock on my door and tell me about the meetings, but it was easy for me to find out when the meetings were. I also contacted them and asked them for a little more information. At that time, they sent me a 75-page full color book, which I think is the same book that's in front of you right now.

They even went through the effort to do a shadow study, which is, I guess, requested by you. The Abdo Corp will bring a much needed or many stores and restaurants to our neighborhood which will not only be used by the residents of their project, but also by the residents that are there now.

So, as a resident homeowner, investor and taxpayer in D.C., I would ask that you support their request for Planned Unit Development here this evening.

VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Thank you.

2.2

Next? Mr. Layman.

2.2

MR. LAYMAN: Good evening. I'm Richard Layman. I've lived in the neighborhood and the city for more than 15 years. I've been a key participant in many of the successful neighborhood revitalization efforts over the past few years, including managing a historic preservation study, serving as one of the leaders of the effort to create H Street/Main Street and raising with others more than a million dollars for neighborhood revitalization activities.

I am a member of the Near Northeast Citizens. I'm also a community member of the ANC Planning and Zoning committee.

The testimony is mine, but it does reflect the sentiments of many.

I'm going to skip through this. You have the written testimony.

We're all well aware of the mayor's initiatives to attract 100,000 new residents to the city. And it's essential to do so to build the revenue and social capital base for the city. It's particularly important in the H Street corridor which needs residents, as everyone else has testified --more residents to attract to our commercial district the kinds of businesses the community says it wants,

the kinds of businesses that other neighborhoods take for granted.

I favor this project for many reasons. It brings more residents to the neighborhood, which will support the revitalization in the commercial corridor. It brings new housing to the neighborhood without the loss of any current units. It provides additional housing supply, which can reduce overall displacement pressures in the great neighborhood, which no one has discussed. And there are about 1,700 units north of H Street. This would add almost a third and would have to reduce displacement pressures.

It provides more units of affordable housing compared to any other unsubsidized housing development in the city in advance of any inclusionary zoning requirements that the Zoning Commission may enact. And as Cheryl Cort testified way better than I could, it supports the District governments, transitoriented development initiatives by providing high density housing close to Union Station, housing that encourages transit use rather than automobile use, and this should appeal to all residents regardless of income.

Also, it restores the distinctive facade of the original Little Sisters of Poor Convent with

2.2

the provisos our colleagues at Stanton Park raised to the quality level of the Secretary of Interior standards for rehabilitating historic buildings, even though the corporation is not required to meet these standards. Because while eligible, and that's an important point, the building -- and I would point out to my ANC committee colleagues, could be nominated in two weeks, if some of us, including me, wanted to do it. It would be very easy to nominate that building to become a historic property.

One of the biggest reasons and perhaps the best reason for you to approve this project, which you've already commented on, the care and concern exhibited by the Applicant, is it brings to H Street for the first time a developer and that includes all the developers, including H Street Community Development Corporation, that have been active in the past. For the first time, it brings a developer to the community that consistently cares about quality and preservation.

All the new construction on H Street over the last 20 years, including Hechinger Mall, sorry, Mr. Hechinger, is unsympathetic to the architectural character and distinctiveness to the surrounding community. In fact, the lack of sympathy to the

_	instance character of the herghborhood has probably
2	contributed to the difficulties in revitalizing the
3	neighborhood.
4	VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: Mr. Layman, let me
5	just say.
6	Normally, when I use the chair here and I
7	usually stop right on the dime, but
8	MR. LAYMAN: Well, I appreciate your
9	VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: the residents have
10	been sitting here
11	MR. LAYMAN: giving me that extra
12	moment and
13	VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: Let me finish my
14	statement. I just want to prod everyone else.
15	The residents have been sitting here all
16	evening, so I'm going to let you continue, but I'm
17	going to ask you to kind of cut it short.
18	Just give your closing.
19	MR. LAYMAN: I don't feel there is
20	anything more to be said than is already in my
21	testimony, which I know due to your diligence, you'll
22	read.
23	Thank you for your forbearance.
24	VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Thank you for
25	your comments.

1	Moving right along.
2	Next, Mr. Kimber, I believe.
3	MR. KIMBER: Yes. Hi. Thank you, Mr.
4	Chair and members of the Commission.
5	My name is Alan Kimber. I live at 228
6	Parkard Street, N.E. I used to tell people I live, if
7	you know where the Children's Museum is, a half block
8	north of that. Well, now I guess I'll be saying, if
9	you know where Senate Square is, I'm a half block
10	north of that.
11	I'm here in my individual capacity. I did
12	run for the ANC, lost by a grand total of 16 votes out
13	of 800 cast. So, I talked to a lot of people in the
14	last little bit including high on the list was what's
15	going on with the Children's Museum.
16	And, yes, so many things have been said
17	and so many things have been entered into the record.
18	Just hitting on a few high points.
19	You couldn't have asked for a higher
20	quality developer to undertake this project, both from
21	commitment to honoring historic nature of the existing
22	buildings and restoring those. And also just the
23	quality of the overall development. You don't have to
24	look too far in any direction in the city to see
25	evidence of that.

You know, overall I think it's a very sensible design and there have been things said about that.

A few things that kind of I heard tonight that I'd like to address as a close-in resident. There was some concern bout the parking entrance and that was actually when I first talked to Mr. Abdo and his company. I was very pleased to see where the entrance is going to be because if you were to put an entrance on I Street or an entrance on Third Street, you present, you know, just a terrible impact on the character and quality of the neighborhood the ability of people to walk and move about freely and access the homes that are in the immediate area.

I was very pleased to hear Mr. Abdo's early support for making a dedicated space to ZipCar, which if you're not familiar with, they provide rentals on an hourly basis so that individuals can have less of a need for a car and address some of the parking pressures and don't result in all kinds of commercial use, but really encourage smart living and the ability to do without a car for the most part.

If I can specifically address the suggestion to lower the parking, the number of parking spots. As a resident, I would be strongly against

2.2

1	that. Yes. I think going higher than one-to-one
2	would be bad because then you would be encouraging
3	automobile use and congestion and etcetera. But if
4	you've got a household with two adults, you know.
5	Actually, my wife and I have one car and I think we do
6	pretty good on that, but we couldn't do without one.
7	So, to lower it to the point where people would have
8	to then be parking on the street, and increasing the
9	competition as it were for street parking, would have
10	a serous adverse effect.
11	I guess I'll leave it there.
12	VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: All right. Thank
13	you.
14	Next, Mr. Davis.
15	MR. DAVIS: Hello. My name is Robert
16	Davis. I live at 719 Third Street, NE. That's less
17	than a half a block from the site.
18	I just want to it's late. I'll be
19	brief.
20	I took a home, I bought a home that was a
21	rental property by an absentee owner and I turned it
22	into my home because I believe in the future of the H
23	Street corridor. And I just wanted to come here
24	tonight to give Mr. Abdo support, if he needed it. I
25	don't think he does

Τ	thank him for believing in the future of the H Street
2	corridor and thank Mr. Esocoff. Thank Mr. Esocoff for
3	bringing grant architecture to the H Street corridor.
4	VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you.
5	Colleagues, any questions of this panel?
6	COMMISSIONER HILDEBRAND: I just have one
7	thing and that's for Mr. Layman.
8	You mentioned in your testimony that the
9	restoration work that's going to be done is to
10	Secretary of Interior standards for rehabilitating
11	historic structures.
12	I'm not sure that that's what the
13	Applicant is offering and I don't think that he would
14	be able to achieve his design for Convent, if that
15	criteria was established.
16	Are you aware of that?
17	VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: Turn your microphone
18	on before you answer. Thank you.
19	MR. LAYMAN: You're probably more right
20	than I am. I think that the
21	COMMISSIONER HILDEBRAND: It's not about
22	being right so much, it's about making sure
23	MR. LAYMAN: Yes.
24	COMMISSIONER HILDEBRAND: you have a
25	clear understanding of what's being

1	MR. LAYMAN: I didn't have as up to date
2	materials as you do before I wrote.
3	COMMISSIONER HILDEBRAND: Thank you.
4	VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you.
5	Cross examination, Ms. Prince? ANC?
6	Stanton Park?
7	Thank you. And thank you for being
8	patient.
9	I'm going to ask Mr. Patrick Lolly to come
10	forward.
11	Anyone else in any other person in
12	support that would like to testify, now is the time to
13	come forward.
14	This is the last call. Any other person
15	in support that would like to testify? Now is the
16	time to come forward. And we're going to begin with
17	Mr. Lolly and then the gentleman who just came
18	forward.
19	MR. LOLLY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and
20	the rest of the Commission.
21	I appreciate the opportunity to testify
22	before you this evening. And I hope that you don't
23	mind if I'm just very, very slightly redundant on some
24	of the important points that were made in this hearing
25	tonight.

I live at 715 Third Street, NE, and I've lived in my house for 19 years. And one of the main reasons why I wanted to come and talk to you tonight is because I want for the public record my almost unbridled enthusiasm for the development of this

For 19 years I've been waiting for this. And during those 19 years I've watched the entire north side of the 300 block of H Street destroyed for what? For a gas station proposal. And it's very It's kind of ironic that the last time I fortuitous. was here for a hearing was when you all in your wisdom limited and really defeated the extensive expansion proposal of the gas station that was across the street from what was in the Children's Museum. important corollary because one of the reasons why panel defeated that extensive expansion because that expansion didn't reflect the highest and best use for the land that was adjacent to It didn't reflect the potential Children's Museum. for economic development in this area.

And see with this proposal we finally have coming to fruition for the first time in the 19 years that I've been across the street from this property, an anchor that says, H Street is a place to invest.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

project.

and that's really important to me. I don't own a business on H Street, but God knows, I would love to be able to shop on H Street and I never really ever have been able to.

So, I want to make that point from the beginning.

Just in terms of my written testimony, I've submitted it for the record and I hope that you accept some of the attachments to it. But, you have to understand that my initial concerns on this project regarding height and vehicular traffic and loading and delivery zones, they've all been addressed. And one of the reasons why they were addressed is because this developer sought early on to make this extraordinarily inclusive process. I wish that I had in the 20 years that I've been involved in land use development and particularly in historic and preservation, I wish that I could have such a cooperative partnership with other projects.

I'd just like to make one concern known that that Stanton Park alluded to earlier. I would like to see as an amenity more attention paid to the historic preservation component.

This property is actually very significant in the District of Columbia and actually one of the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

attachments I've provided you is a little history that
I did with -- in conjunction with the Little Sisters
of the Poor and their library.

And, furthermore, in terms of this building and bear with me for one second. I know that we're pressed for time, but I included photographs. Ironically enough from Marist Hall, which is across the street from present Little Sisters of the Poor Convent up at Hairwood Road. And interestingly in late 19th century Catholic institutional architecture, there was almost -- there was almost a paradigm for it's development.

When the Children's Museum, when the Little Sisters of the Poor developed its property in 1873 with the extensive help of Congress, I might add, what they did they was acquire all of Square 752, so that they could expand on it in the future. And that's what you're really seeing.

When we talk about the historic fabric of this building, what we're talking about is in one of those last photographs I provided you of Marist Hall, an original 1873 building that looked very much like that photograph. A center section with a chapel and two flanking wings.

In 1886, the Little Sisters got a

2.2

1	subsequent appropriation from Congress. They built
2	the westerly wing of the building. And so the
3	historic fabric of this building is iterative. And I
4	just wanted to leave you and note for the public
5	record that it would be great as part of the historic
6	amenity if a qualified architectural historian could
7	do a really good assessment as to what constitutes the
8	original historic fabric of the building, leave it as
9	architectural historians do as, as we do with all of
10	our development projects that are certified historic
11	districts that are landmarks, really acknowledge the
12	way that the building developed architecturally with
13	its historic significance.
14	And, lastly, and thank you for the
15	additional minute, Mr. Chair, to make that record part
16	of the public inventory of the District of Columbia,
17	so that perhaps the historical society or the Martin
18	Luther King Library could actually use these data as
19	part of its historic record on the development of the
20	city.
21	Thank you.
22	VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you.
23	You give us you name and identify
24	yourself. Just hold your seat.

MR. SHERMAN:

25

Thank you. My name is Mike

1 Sherman. I'm an ANC Commissioner on the 6C 2 Commission, 6C06. And let me say really briefly, I am here tonight as a single ANC Commissioner representing 3 4 my members. The Commission, as I'm sure you all have 5 seen, passed two unanimous resolutions. We sent two 6 7 letters to the Zoning Commission. I hope you have 8 both of those, expressing the unanimous support of the 9 Commission. So these remarks are representative of 10 myself and my constituents. And it's really the 11 thoughts of my constituents because I've been an ANC 12 Commissioner now since January 2nd and this is the single most important issue of my constituents. 13 14 In fact, I'm going to go further than 15 This is the single most important development 16 in the H Street corridor in over 50 years. And the impact of this project cannot be 17 understated and I'm glad that so many folks have 18 19 talked about tonight. 20 What I'm going to do very briefly right 21 now is just talk about the concerns that are important to my constituents. 2.2 23 I think it was a great segway coming right after you because I've had many constituents come up 24

and just express -- they are so frustrated that H

Street seems to be some sort of barrier. And it's a really sad thing to me as an ANC Commissioner to thank of my Commission as having a barrier in it. And I'm very sad about this and I don't want to exist by the time my tenure is done. I think we need to bring the community together. The folks that are moving in new and the folks who have been there for along time.

And I think that this development on H Street is truly going to spur a lot of activity, which is going to H Street reflective of the diverse, multiethnic, very diverse socio-economic group that lives in our neighborhood. And think H Street can really reflect our neighborhood much better.

The issues that are important and I don't really need to go into them because everyone else has is the historic preservation. People are very impressed with the affordable housing component. And what's so important about that is that it sets a great precedent for future developments. And what it's doing is it's carving out a niche as we develop new areas, new projects in our neighborhood for the folks who maybe are there who can't afford the \$400,000 to \$450,000 condos which we see on the western half of our ANC on Massachusetts Avenue.

I think this definitely meets the needs

2.2

177 1 for housing in our community. We have a definite need 2 for increased housing in our ANC. I'm a believer of smart growth. Many of 3 my residents are. Either you are or you aren't. And 4 this is right divisive issue. And it's been covered 5 on both sides. But this is perfectly situated if you 6 7 do believe in smart growth, to take advantage of the 8 two metros being so close. 9 So, with that in mind, folks are very 10 excited to see a high density building on the western 11 half of H Street, which can take advantage of its proximity to Union Station. 12

And finally I have to mention the substantial amount of community input between Mr. Abdo himself and Toby Millman and the community.

In my short time on the ANC, there is nothing more frustrating than speaking with an architect or someone's son or cousin coming to present an issue. Mr. Abdo has come himself to dozens of meetings that I've been at, over half a dozen of them. And I think that the proposal he's presented today is truly reflective of my residence in 6C06 because many of them, hundreds of them have given their input at various meetings.

Thank you for this opportunity to speak.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you, both.
2	Colleagues, any questions?
3	Okay. Cross examination, Ms. Prince?
4	ANC? Stanton Park?
5	Okay. Thank you.
6	MR. SHERMAN: The speed of my speech was
7	helpful tonight, wasn't it?
8	VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you.
9	MR. SHERMAN: A lot of information, three
10	minutes.
11	VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you very much.
12	I only have one organization in opposition
13	and that is Residents and Property Owners of Square
14	776. So, whoever is going to represent them, if they
15	can come forward at this time.
16	And how many people do we have, persons in
17	opposition? Can you raise your hands so I can see how
18	many people.
19	Okay. So, we don't have any okay. So,
20	let me ask the residents and property owners of Square
21	776 who are in opposition. I'm going to ask both of
22	them to come to the table at this time. The one who
23	is going to speak for the residents and also the
24	person who is going to be the individual person who
25	gets three minutes. So, you both can come to the

table.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Anyone else in opposition?

Okay, Ms. Schellin, if we can go to the organization first and we'll give him five minutes and then we'll give the next person three minutes.

Mr. McCrea, I believe?

MR. McCREA: Yes.

VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay.

MR. McCREA: Thank you, Chairman Hood and fellow Commissioners.

My name is Mack McCrea. I represent the residents of Square 776, which consists of a lot of senior citizens who have lived in that Square since World War II. They would have come out tonight, but because of the weather, they couldn't make it. And since the hearing went so long, I'm glad that they didn't. So, on their behalf, I'll try to speak as strongly as I can in terms of their position.

First of all, we would like to say that we are disappointed that we weren't granted party status since we are the neighbors that are directly across from the Square. We are the neighbors that fought the BP Amoco. We are the neighbors that will be most affected by the project. But we certainly appreciate you giving us the opportunity to state our position.

And that position is, we are opposed to the project as is constantly -- I mean as it's currently presented and we listed in our letter the reason why we opposed it.

Earlier we were solicited by the Office of Planning to state our position on it and we submitted something to them in that regard. Hopefully, they added that in their report even though they appear to be for the project.

Our main concern for that particular project is the parking issue. The building is going to have about 480 residents and the way we calculate times two, probably because people are going to have their spouses and let's face it, America loves cars.

And there simply is not going to be enough parking.

Parking in Washington, D.C. is becoming a premium and we appear to be vastly moving this city towards a situation like New York whereas in order to have a parking space, you have to be a status individual and that simply is not fair to us.

We feel the residents of this particular development would have two options. They could park on the development as well as park on the street. So, where does that leave us? We're talking senior citizens. We're talking people that's been there in

2.2

their houses since World War II. It's simply not a fair arrangement.

We are saying that some accommodations need to be made for them.

Secondly, we feel the building height is just simply too big. When you saw the picture that he put up there, it clearly dwarfed the historical structure, the Children's Museum. So, if we are interested in actually seeing that, this building totally dwarfs it, not to mention our two-story houses.

If you saw the view from Third Street and the suggestion that it would not cast a shadow, and we're talking about a structure that's about 15 stories compared to two-story houses and you telling me that it doesn't cast a shadow? I'm sure the Commission wouldn't believe that.

And, thirdly, we strongly feel that adding this many residents to this particular residential square at this time is really not conducive to what that original residential square was designed for. Those squares on Capital Hill were not designed to hold 500 residents. If that's the case, why don't we let them build a big building on all the residential squares? If that's the case, why don't we let them

build a counter-building across the street right at Third and -- the south side of Third and H Street. Why don't we let them go up 15 stories if you're talking about symmetry.

Also, we lose our skyline view, which we feel is very important to us. We lose the effect of seeing the western sunset. And that's simply not fair to us. We feel the senior citizens in the senior years of their lives should be entitled to see the last look of the western sunset. And with this huge building, it's simply not fair.

Now, as far as Mr. Abdo and his team, they are honorable men. I'm quite sure. And they've done great work in this city. But this hearing shouldn't be about the virtues of Mr. Abdo. It should be about this particular project and how it directly affects the community. And out of all the people who testified, we submit that our Square 776 would be most directly affected by it. So, we would hope that the Commission pay some close attention to that.

And, finally, we would like to thank Commissioner Hildebrand who we felt asked some very pointed questions to the applicant. And if you review the transcript, in regards to height and in regards to the parking, I'm not sure whether they sufficiently

1	answered those questions.
2	I think Mr. Abdo would be a great
3	developer. I think this project can go forward, but
4	they need to cut it down. It's simply too big. We
5	might as well build a stadium there if we're going up
6	15 stories.
7	Thank you.
8	VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you. If you
9	can just hold your seat. You're going to have three
10	minutes.
11	MR. WINSTON: Okay. My name is Raymond
12	Winston. I live directly across the street from here.
13	I live at 831 Third Street. When I sit on my porch,
14	if they put this building up, I can't see anything.
15	My mom is 91. I have to have a car to
16	carry her back and forth to the hospital and
17	everywhere else.
18	If they should get this, there is no way
19	possible I'm going to have a parking spot to carry her
20	in and out. She has to go to the store. And this
21	entirely blocks.
22	There is a lady that's 96. My mom is 91.
23	And it's all elderly people and we have to have a
24	car. We have to have somewhere to park. If she
25	should decide to sit on the porch, which she's almost

afraid, but we would like to be able to sit on the 1 2 porch and be able to see something at some time. And it's totally unfair. 3 I thank you. That's all I have to say. 4 VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. I thank you 5 6 both for your comments. 7 Mr. McCrea, let me just say that while I 8 understand you're in Square 776, which 9 effecting, right across the street. We have some 10 regulations and I'm going to your first point about us 11 not making you party status. 12 We have some regulations for some specific requirements and it was not timely filed, nor did you 13 14 express in our regulations 3022.3 of what should have 15 been in your letter for us to make our informed 16 decision on. 17 And let me just, so this won't happen again in this manner. I would ask that next time or 18 19 even after this time, regardless of how the case goes, 20 pro or con, that you talk with -- or even Ms. 21 Schellin or Mr. Moyer, and look at regulation 3022.3. 22 While I understand where you're coming 23 from, but if we did not have a regulation in place, 24 you can imagine the trouble we would have. And the 25 way I look at -- it was stamped in on -- well, we got

1	two dates. The 25th or the 26th, and I believe today
2	is the 27th. We have a requirement, so, we can have
3	adequate time to read and prepare and that did not
4	meet the requirement.
5	So, unfortunately, this time we didn't
6	know, but now we know. We'll work with the staff and
7	hopefully for the next venue or even this venue next
8	time, if there is a next time for you, that you will
9	be granted status because you have met the
10	requirements by law.
11	Any other questions, colleagues, of this
12	panel?
13	COMMISSIONER HILDEBRAND: I'd like to
14	thank you for coming down to speak because I think
15	it's always important to citizens to express your
16	views and to heard in a public forum. And I really
17	admire you both for coming down and talking on a very
18	difficult subject.
19	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: Actually, I do
20	have a comment.
21	You know, I clearly hear the frustration
22	and the concern and I can see sort of, you know, just
23	physically what you're concern would be as it relates
24	to just looking out your front door and see this tall
25	building. But the one thing that I want to make

certain that you keep in mind is that there are new developments that are current in all of these neighborhoods across the city. It's happening in my neighborhood. It's happening in North Michigan Park and across the city a number of existing residents are having to deal with new development. Okay.

Now, I recognize you're dealing with the height and you might not have an issue with depth. There's new development. But I think one of the things that we're going to have to get comfortable with is that this city is trying to grow, you know, and we are trying to make room for new residents. We're also trying to retain affordable housing. And we really need to look to those places where that can be achieved.

And obviously we need to work on our land use and manage this appropriately but a lot of these neighborhoods, these small quite neighborhoods are changing. And your speech, what you've said today, we hear this constantly, so I just want you to know, you're not the only person in the city that is sort of dealing with these issues. These pressures are happening across the city. I mean, I wake up to jack hammers and all kind of things. But I'm just saying that to you in that, you know, at some point, we're

going to all have to figure out how we get comfortable 1 2 with growth and change in our neighborhoods. MR. McCREA: Can I respond? 3 COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: Sure. Absolutely. 4 MR. McCREA: We welcome the development. 5 There is no questions, because we are one of the 6 7 starved neighborhoods that need the development after 8 the riots. But, as I look at Georgetown, which was a 9 historical neighborhood, you can have development and 10 still keep the character of the neighborhood. 11 be done. I think we're setting a bad precedent when 12 we let these developers come in and put these huge 13 14 buildings here blocking the Washington, D.C. skyline. 15 It used to be a badge of courage when you 16 could fly into National airport and you could see the various neighborhoods and stuff. 17 Now, if we as citizens sit up here and allow these developments to 18 19 build all these huge buildings and make us more like 20 New York, then shame on us. 21 COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: and I quess what I'm saying to you is that, you know, everyone does not 22 necessarily share that vision of how the District of 23 Columbia should grow. And, again, there needs to be 24 25 some level of respect.

And I might also add to you that as it relates to this whole notion of New York and how comparisons, sometimes height making is not necessarily a bad thing. And bulk is not necessarily it does thing. In many cases define a bad It does create comfortable, sort of neighborhoods. barriers, so you understand sort of what neighborhood is. It's not always necessarily a bad thing.

So, I hear your comments. I understand where you're going. I just want to put that on the record that, you know, the D.C. of old is changing and it's hard to accept that. But it is and we need to figure out how we can comfortably get there.

VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: Let me just add to that because this discussion -- this is not our first time having this discussion up here.

Mr. McCrea, you're absolutely right. We have to find a balance. I hear the city is changing and it is changing. But I'm also respecting and reminded of those who have been here as you stated.

This is not the first time, as my colleague stated and I probably had the same conversation about another case just within the last month.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	But it's going to be the Commission's job
2	to balance. And it might take out some views and what
3	not, but I'm also cognizant of those who have been
4	here. You named 91 and 90 years old. And those are
5	citizens who were on H Street when H Street wasn't in
6	its glory days. It's coming back. But then we have
7	to balance it and I look at the affordable housing as
8	I stated earlier. Regardless of the income we're
9	talking about what about \$40,000 or two people
10	making about \$30,000? And I look at that. You know,
11	so it's a balancing thing for us up here.
12	And I want you to understand I'm very
13	cognizant of anyone as I think we all are. I know the
14	city is changing, but we want to balance how we
15	proceed further.
16	Now, let me ask this and I may have missed
17	this. Did we see a view from Square 776 to the
18	project? Did we see that? A shadow diagram, Excuse
19	me. Okay. Did we ask for one? I think you did,
20	didn't you? Okay.
21	COMMISSIONER HILDEBRAND: They gave a
22	shadow diagram, but they never gave us a perspective
23	looking from the east to the west of what you would

see from one of the townhouses across the street.

VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD:

24

25

That's what I would

1	like to see. Is it here? If we have it, then that's
2	all I would like to see before we make our decision if
3	that's okay with everybody. Okay.
4	All right. And I really want to thank
5	everybody for their oh, no, no. I'm going to close
6	out and I haven't even finished.
7	The Applicant thank you, gentlemen.
8	Hold on. Cross examination? They said no
9	already, but Mr. prince, for the record, I'm going to
10	go through the line.
11	Ms. Prince? ANC? Stanton Park? Okay.
12	Thank you. Thank you, gentlemen.
13	Applicant come back.
14	MS. PRINCE: Thank you for your attention
15	this evening. It's late. Everyone is tired. I just
16	have two things to accomplish as a rebuttal point if
17	Phil Esocoff could address the issue of the view of
18	the building from the Third Street residences, I think
19	that would be helpful.
20	VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: Good.
21	MS. PRINCE: I have very brief closing
22	remarks and then we can all go home.
23	VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Thank you.
24	MR. ESOCOFF: First of all, Jim do you
25	have that photograph?

1	VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: I think is worth the
2	time.
3	MR. ESOCOFF: Yes.
4	VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: This is important.
5	MR. ESOCOFF: Okay. I think this is an
6	important photograph because this is taken from across
7	those houses and that is the view right now. There
8	are no lights on at night. It's a blank brick wall
9	and I wouldn't consider that architecture of merit. I
LO	think we can all agree on that at least.
L1	But think the other
L2	VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: That's the current
13	view.
L4	MR. ESOCOFF: That's the current view from
L5	those houses.
L6	Now, the other thing I want to point out
L7	thought and I think it's an important issues that we
L8	come up with when we talk about height and obviously a
L9	very different thing called scale.
20	This building is only three stores, but
21	it's completely out of scale with those townhouses
22	though it may in fact be shorter because scale is a
23	characteristic of understanding the actual size in
24	relationship to a human being.
25	So when I look at this I see a building

that if it were five stories high -- five stories higher would be out of scale. If it were one story high, it would be out of scale. It wouldn't be in scale with the community because it lacks scale. No clue as to how big a thing is. And I won't go back to classic architecture in scale but --

COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: And actually that was one of the concerns I had for your perspective that was showing -- I didn't see a person in that perspective to really address the whole notion of scale.

And I understood the articulation -- Well, okay. I didn't see it.

MR. ESOCOFF: Well, let me just say.

COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: Okay.

MR. ESOCOFF: There's one other important aspect of this is that I want you to notice that the frame -- the cone of vision that you're seeing only stops short of the top of that traffic light. So, when we talk about what does someone say from across the street, they don't see -- like this. Like a fly's eye. They're going to see the lower portions of the building. So, the challenge in making the building good is in making the lower portions that cone of vision that really is perceived correct. It has

nothing to do with the overall height. Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

There was another view. I don't know -- we had with people in it. Oh, no. Next one. No. There's another one. Did we -- that one.

COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: Oh, okay.

MR. ESOCOFF: Here you can see that what we've done is try to create the arches and that breakpoint where the bay is to kind of pick up on the lines of the cornices across the way. That's why we've attached little railings that you saw in the closer one.

And because, you know, how this has varying heights, obviously, we're trying to get the proportion of those windows in ours by doubling the size of the windows so that the width, height, ration of that, that kind of diagonal thing is more in keeping from this distance, you know. This is one of those views where, in fact, now you're starting to see the whole city. You're seeing the alignment of the cornice of our building almost with the top of the 65-foot building because that's the way things are perceived in the city. That's why we have one-to-one set-backs for penthouses, because it's understood that there's a certain perspectival phenomenon that occurs makes things fall into place at that angle

1	because we do have a cone of vision of where it is as
2	well as forward. And that's why we worked so hard to
3	get those close-in shots, because we think when people
4	are sitting on their porches, they should look across
5	to flower boxes that are individualized by the people
6	who have those flower boxes. And plantings that are
7	in the little gardens that are in front of those
8	windows just the way they are across the street.
9	Because our residents also are expecting the people
10	across the street to be a pleasant view for them also
11	in the morning.
12	So, it's, you know. Seeing something with
13	its lights on, I think is nicer than that brick wall.
14	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: This was the
15	actual illustration where it thought it would have
16	been nice to show a person to really demonstrate
17	scale.
18	MR. ESOCOFF: Right.
19	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: But I understand
20	that you wanted to show across the street with yes.
21	MR. ESOCOFF: And those railings, for
22	instance, are like three feet high.
23	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: Yes. Yes. Right.
24	COMMISSIONER HILDEBRAND: I think there's
25	no question that the quality and character of the

architecture that you're providing at the street scape is by far superior in the side wall of the almost warehouse like structure that's there now. But you have to admit that there is a certain relief in having that warehouse structure stop at 30 feet and being able to see the sky across from you.

And I think if you were to do a comparable view to the photograph that you've just shown of the warehouse and did that smack on elevation of the side of your building. Just do an elevation view of what you see and then do the next cone of vision of what you see, how many cones of visions would you have to get before you saw the sky?

MR. ESOCOFF: I think you would turn your head and look to the right or left. I think that we go up and down Connecticut Avenue. I live on Kalorama and Connecticut and the buildings behind me are two stories. They stayed there. And my building is there and the city is flooded with light. In Philadelphia with 300 foot buildings, on 50 foot streets. This is the most open, broad day lit city in the whole country. And unless the 1910 Height Act is wrong, and there's an alternative theory that we can talk about, then somehow I think we're being misguided by that and we should revise it. But I think we've got sufficient

light and air in there in the city. In fact, in the summer the city was planning to have trees canopy the streets to provide shade. Now, if you go up 15th Street today, we have plenty of light and air, but it's blistering hot in the summer because we've taken away the trees that were supposed to create that cone of vision, create some shade.

So, I really just don't understand that problem. New York has buildings that are a hundred stories high. We're talking not about 15, of course, but about 12.

COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: So, let's say if we took two stories off of this. Would it be a different experience for the people that are living on -- across the street? I mean if you took two stories off. I mean, would it be a different living experience, I mean? Would you come out and go, wow, there's much more light now that we've taken two stories off of this building? I just don't -- I don't know where we're going here.

VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: I guess --

MR. ESOCOFF: I'm very passionate about it because I live -- you know, I look out my window at all these great buildings at Kalorama and Connecticut and I think that's my view. My God, look at those

1	buildings. They are fantastic and then I see down the
2	street.
3	VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: I guess the history
4	for me would be if the building the developer was
5	doing a matter of right, what effect would that have
6	on the homes across? And after that, then we move
7	forward.
8	MR. ESOCOFF: Well, it would be 65 feet
9	and the shadow size we showed, showed that in the
10	winter when the houses are not very much affected by
11	shade, it's the existing buildings throwing its shadow
12	extremely to the northeast. Our building actually is
13	shading further into the intersection. The notch we
14	cut into it almost cuts right around the two story
15	houses and we've submitted that.
16	And that's why the one-to-one setback is
17	good because you see it on Mass Avenue. There's a
18	whole zoning category of 110 and then 1 to 1
19	VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: Let me go back to the
20	site.
21	So, you're saying you've already provided
22	us something showing a matter of right from the view
23	from looking from the townhouse across to the project?
24	MR. ESOCOFF: Not straight across.
25	VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay.

VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yes. You know, I may
want to see that if you all can provided that for me,
Ms. Prince. I would like to see that. A matter of
right development from looking from the town homes
across. I just want to see as it was stated, is there
really any difference? You know a whole lot other
than what what is it 65 feet?
MS. PRINCE: 65 feet would be matter of
right. And we're happy to provide that for the
record. I think the shadow study rally already
investigates that exact condition.
VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. So, I can
understand. That would be great. Okay.
Unless there's something else, colleagues,
ready to move?
COMMISSIONER PARSONS: Ms. Prince has
VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: Oh, she hasn't? We
must be getting tired.
Ms. Prince.
MS. PRINCE: I have a very brief closing
VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: Take your time.
MS. PRINCE: remarks.
As you've heard all night long, we are
passionate about this project. It has already been a

MR. ESOCOFF: I mean --

catalyst on the H Street corridor. It's a gateway project that make a transition. And the scale and massing are appropriate because they have to be considered in the context of the whole neighborhood, but they also have to be considered in the context of the entire square.

A large portion of this square will be retained at its existing height and density with a 30-foot buffer around it. The 110 foot portion of the building we're talking about does not embrace the entire square. We only have 65 percent lot occupancy and that takes into account the historic building. It makes the site transparent and it creates a wonderful transition within the site itself.

We responded to the concerns about Third Street by coming to you with the shadow studies. We studied this exhaustively ourselves, with the Office of Planning and now with you.

As Jim said, there is no perfect project. There is no perfect PUD. But we think this one is as close to perfect as we can provide to you. We have tried to provide as much to as many as possible, not only for this immediate neighborhood, but for the entire city in promoting the housing objectives.

We have balanced every imaginable factor

2.2

1 past several months in our 2 outreach. And I think we have done an excellent job of trying to balance all of the competing interest to 3 achieve what we need to achieve here to make this 4 5 project happen. 6 So, I strongly urge your favorable 7 consideration of this application. We're happy to 8 provide any follow-up materials. They are highly 9 limited. We would greatly appreciate your action on 10 this application at your next meeting in February. 11 And I appreciate your time and patience tonight. VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: Commissioner Parsons 12 is actually helping me with the shadow study and I 13 14 wanted to see -- for someone who doesn't have any 15 formal training in architecture, I would still like to 16 see the same view from across the street, just across 17 for matter of right. That will help me out a great 18 deal. 19 I don't know if anybody else --20 COMMISSIONER HILDEBRAND: Can I ask for 21 one thing too? And, again, it gets into the roof 22 scape. 23 The code reads that the spires, towers, pinnacles 24 domes, and vinerettes serving as

architectural embellishments, can exceed the matter of

right height.

2.2

Can you give us some way in which the proposed roof elements fit that description?

VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Do we all know what's being asked for? Do we all know what's being asked for? What we're asking for? Good. I'm glad somebody knows because I don't.

So, what I'm going to do, I'm going to ask, can we repeat that so we're all on the same page, Ms. Prince? Can we repeat what we're asking for? So, we're all on the same page. I don't think it's a whole lot.

And we are going to shoot to try to take this up at our February meeting, which has been moved to the 24th of February. And that was moved prior to this -- we did that at our last hearing.

Ms. Schellin, Mr. Moy --

MS. SCHELLIN: I have a couple of things down. First of all, a copy of the roof plan as shown on the PowerPoint presentation tonight. Yes. No bigger than 11 x 17. A view from across the -- the matter of right view from across the street. And then Mr. Hildebrand -- I didn't catch the section, but he wanted find out how the roof elements fit into the description of Section --

1	COMMISSIONER HILDEBRAND: I think it's 7 -
2	- 770.3.
3	MS. SCHELLIN: 770.3. And then also for
4	the ANC, in order for them to be given great weight,
5	they need to provide us a letter complying with
6	section 3012.5.
7	VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: You can see staff and
8	they will guide you in that, ma'am.
9	Anyone else, anything else?
10	Okay. Thank you.
11	MS. SCHELLIN: Just the dates.
12	VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: Oh. I'm going to
13	read those. I'm going to try to mix those in with my
14	closing statement. And if there's some questions,
15	I'll look up before I adjourn the hearing.
16	Ladies and gentlemen, the other members of
17	the Commission and I wish to thank you for your
18	testimony and assistance with this hearing. I want to
19	thank the residents as well as the Applicant as well
20	as all those who participated for their patience. And
21	while I'm thanking, I want to thank Ms. Schellin and
22	Mr. Moy for making sure that I do the cross
23	examination and keeping me straight and the Office of
24	Planning too.
25	When you don't do this often, sometime you

forget and leave things out. I haven't done it in 1 2 awhile. But anyway, the record in this case is 3 for the information that 4 closed. except we have requested, which was stated earlier. 5 Please note that all filings are to take 6 7 place no later than February the 8th. All documents 8 are due by 3:00 p.m. on February the 8th. Parties are 9 supposed to respond by 3:00 p.m. on February the 15th 10 with findings of facts and conclusions of law. 11 Any party in this case may file a written 12 response to any information or report filed after the closing of the hearing. Such responses should be 13 14 filed no later than 3:00 p.m. as indicated by the 15 Secretary. 16 Parties in this case are invited to submit proposed findings of facts and conclusions of law no 17 later than 3:00 p.m. which is going to be on February 18 19 the 15th, as previously indicated. The Commission will make a decision in the 20 21 one of its regularly meetings following 22 closing of the record. We are expecting to hopefully do it in February, which our meeting is going to be on 23 24 the 24th.

These meetings are held at 6:30 p.m. on

1	the second Monday. In this case, as I mentioned, it
2	will be February 24, with some exceptions. That's the
3	exception.
4	And now over to the public. If any
5	individual is interested in following this case
6	further, please contact staff to determine whether
7	this case is on the agenda at a particular meeting.
8	Is everything in order, Ms. Schellin?
9	MS. SCHELLIN: Yes, sir.
10	VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. I now declare
11	this hearing closed.
12	(Whereupon, the above hearing was
13	adjourned at 10:44 p.m.)
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	